

Quantized orthonormal systems: A non-commutative Kwapien theorem

J. GARCÍA-CUERVA and J. PARCET

February 18, 2003

Abstract

The concepts of Riesz type and cotype of a given Banach space are extended to a non-commutative setting. First, the Banach space is replaced by an operator space. The notion of quantized orthonormal system, which plays the role of the orthonormal system in the classical setting, is then defined. The Fourier type and cotype of an operator space with respect to a non-commutative compact group fit in this context. Also, the quantized analogs of Rademacher and Gaussian systems are treated. All this is used to obtain an operator space version of the classical theorem of Kwapien characterizing Hilbert spaces by means of vector-valued orthogonal series. Several approaches to this result with different consequences are given.

1 Introduction

The notion of type or cotype of a Banach space B with respect to some classical system, such as the Rademacher or the trigonometric system, is a common way to express the validity of certain inequalities for B -valued

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46L07, 46C15, 42C15.

Key words and phrases: operator space, Kwapien theorem, quantized system, Riesz type, Rademacher system, Gauss system.

Research supported in part by the European Commission via the TMR Network 'Harmonic Analysis' and by Project BFM 2001/0189, Spain

functions. The systematic research of these topics has given rise to a very well developed theory of the interaction between orthonormal systems and the geometry of Banach spaces. In this paper we look at this interaction from a non-commutative point of view. By that we mean to investigate what happens when we replace Banach spaces by operator spaces.

The first example in this setting was given in [5], where we define and study the Fourier type and cotype of an operator space with respect to a non-commutative compact group. Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and let p' denote its conjugate exponent. Let G be a compact group with dual object Γ . An operator space E has Fourier type p with respect to G if the E -valued Fourier transform on G extends to a completely bounded operator from $L_E^p(G)$ into $\mathcal{L}_E^{p'}(\Gamma)$. Similarly, by considering the inverse of the Fourier transform, the notion of Fourier cotype comes out in this context. A relevant difference, between this notion of Fourier type and its classical counterpart for compact abelian groups, lies in the fact that the system of characters has to be replaced by the set of equivalence classes of unitary irreducible representations of G . That is, Γ is now the system and E is the space.

Going back to the general case, the question is to find out which properties should we require from the system to get appropriate information about the operator space. As we shall see below, these systems will be collections of matrix-valued functions satisfying some extra conditions, what is perfectly natural in view of the basic example mentioned above. This is why we have called them ‘quantized systems’, completing in such a way the scheme where Banach spaces become operator spaces and boundedness of operators is replaced by complete boundedness. Finally we point out that, by the necessity of working with vector-valued Schatten classes and as it was recalled in [13], the management of vector-valued orthogonal series with respect to a quantized system does not make sense in Banach space theory.

The definition of quantized orthonormal system was motivated by the theory initiated in [5] and [4]. In fact, we find basic for its development to obtain an operator space version of the isomorphic characterization of Hilbert spaces given by Kwapien in [6]. We provide three different approaches to this result. The first one is valid for any uniformly bounded quantized orthonormal system. The second one extends this result to non-uniformly bounded but complete quantized orthonormal systems. The third approach involves the quantization of the classical Gauss system, which fails to be complete or even uniformly bounded. This system also characterizes Pisier’s OH Hilbertian operator spaces up to complete isomorphism and the proof of this fact

follows the arguments given in the first approach. However, we also show that Kwapien's original arguments in [6] to link Rademacher and Gauss systems via the central limit theorem work in this context. Moreover, as we shall see, the use of this probabilistic approach has a remarkable advantage. Namely, it provides corollary 5.7. Roughly speaking this result can be stated by saying that, when the quantized system we deal with takes values in arbitrary large matrices, then the operator space version of Kwapien's theorem for such a system also holds requiring only the boundedness of the involved operators, not the complete boundedness. Finally, an example is included and some open questions are posed.

All throughout this paper some basic notions of operator space theory and vector-valued Schatten classes will be assumed. The definitions and results about operator spaces that we will be using can be found in the book of Effros and Ruan [2], while for the study of those Schatten classes the reader is referred to [13], where Pisier analyzes them in detail.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Gilles Pisier for some valuable comments. Specially for the proof of theorem 5.9, which he communicated to us during a visit of the second author to Université Paris VI.

2 Uniformly bounded quantized orthonormal systems

The classical Hausdorff-Young inequality on the torus was generalized by F. Riesz in 1923 to any uniformly bounded orthonormal system. If one looks for extensions of this result to vector-valued functions, the notions of Riesz type, cotype and strong cotype of a Banach space come out naturally. These were defined in [3] with the aim to provide a general notion of type which included the classical (uniformly bounded) systems: Rademacher, Fourier, Walsh, etc... Here we introduce a 'quantized version' of these notions. From now on, M_n will stand for the vector space of $n \times n$ complex matrices and S_n^p will denote the Schatten p -class over the space M_n .

Definition 2.1 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a probability measure space with no atoms and let $\mathbf{d}_\Sigma = \{d_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ be a family of positive integers, Σ an index set. A collection of matrix-valued functions $\Phi = \{\varphi^\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow M_{d_\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ with measura-

ble entries is said to be a *uniformly bounded quantized orthonormal system* (u.b.q.o.s. for short) if the following conditions hold:

$$(a) \quad \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ij}^{\sigma}(\omega) \overline{\varphi_{i'j'}^{\sigma'}(\omega)} d\mu(\omega) = \frac{1}{d_{\sigma}} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'}.$$

$$(b) \quad \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \|\varphi^{\sigma}(\omega)\|_{S_{d_{\sigma}}^{\infty}} = M_{\Phi} < \infty.$$

The pair $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_{\Sigma})$ will be called the *set of parameters* of Φ . We say that Φ is *complete* when any function $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} d_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}(A^{\sigma} \varphi^{\sigma}) \quad \text{for some } A \in \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{d_{\sigma}}.$$

Remark 2.2 Let us recall that, if we take $\Sigma = \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{\sigma} = 1$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we recover the classical definition of uniformly bounded orthonormal systems or complete orthonormal systems on Ω . Also, if Ω is a compact topological group G with normalized Haar measure μ , then the dual object Γ of G is a u.b.q.o.s. The functions φ^{σ} are irreducible unitary representations of G , d_{σ} is the degree of φ^{σ} and $M_{\Gamma} = 1$.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, let E be an operator space and let Σ be an index set as in definition 2.1. Following the notation of [5] we define the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma) &= \left\{ A \in \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{d_{\sigma}} \otimes E : \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma)} = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} d_{\sigma} \|A^{\sigma}\|_{S_{d_{\sigma}}^p(E)}^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\} \\ \mathcal{L}_E^{\infty}(\Sigma) &= \left\{ A \in \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{d_{\sigma}} \otimes E : \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}_E^{\infty}(\Sigma)} = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \|A^{\sigma}\|_{S_{d_{\sigma}}^{\infty}(E)} < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where we write $S_n^p(E)$ for the E -valued Schatten p -class over M_n . $\mathcal{L}^p(\Sigma)$ will denote the scalar-valued case. $\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma)$ is endowed with its natural operator space structure, see [5] and Chapter 2 of [13] for the details. Now, if Φ is a u.b.q.o.s. and \star stands for the adjoint operator, then the Φ -transform and its inverse can be defined naturally as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Phi}(f)^{\sigma} = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \varphi^{\sigma}(\omega) \star d\mu(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\Phi}^{-1}(A)(\omega) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} d_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}(A^{\sigma} \varphi^{\sigma}(\omega))$$

for $f : \Omega \rightarrow E$ and $A \in \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} M_{d_{\sigma}} \otimes E$.

Remark 2.3 Note that when the system Φ is not complete, the inverse is not unique. Thus our notation is slightly abusive, but we shall keep it for concreteness.

We start with a version for uniformly bounded quantized orthonormal systems of the classical Riesz theorem.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and let p' denote its conjugate exponent. Let Φ be a u.b.q.o.s. Then we have*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\Phi\|_{cb(L^p(\Omega), \mathcal{L}^{p'}(\Sigma))}, \|\mathcal{F}_\Phi^{-1}\|_{cb(\mathcal{L}^p(\Sigma), L^{p'}(\Omega))} \leq M_\Phi^{2/p-1}.$$

Proof. By the complex interpolation method for operator spaces, we just need to check the cases $p = 1, 2$. It follows from Lemma 1.7 of [13] that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\Phi\|_{cb(L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{L}^2(\Sigma))} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|\mathcal{F}_\Phi \otimes I_{M_n}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_{S_n^2}^2(\Omega), \mathcal{L}_{S_n^2}^2(\Sigma))}$$

with the obvious modifications for the inverse operator. Then the case $p = 2$ is a consequence of the orthonormality of Φ . That is, it follows from condition **(a)** in definition 2.1. If $p = 1$, \mathcal{F}_Φ is defined on $L^1(\Omega)$ which is equipped with the max quantization. Moreover, \mathcal{F}_Φ^{-1} takes values in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, which is equipped with the min quantization. Therefore, by the quantizations we are working with, boundedness is equivalent to complete boundedness (see Section 3.3 of [2] for the details). But it is obvious that the stated inequalities hold for $p = 1$ when the *cb* norm is replaced by the operator norm. ■

If Σ_0 is a finite subset of Σ , let $\Phi_E^p(\Sigma_0) = \text{span}\{\varphi_{ij}^\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma_0\} \otimes E$ regarded as a subspace of $L_E^p(\Omega)$ with its natural operator space structure. Also, let $\Phi_0 = \{\varphi^\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow M_{d_\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0}$ be the restriction of Φ to Σ_0 . Then Φ_0 is also a u.b.q.o.s. and lemma 2.4 holds for Φ_0 .

Definition 2.5 Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and let p' denote its conjugate exponent:

- The operator space E is said to have *Riesz type p* with respect to Φ , or simply Φ -type p , if

$$\mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \Phi) = \sup \|\mathcal{F}_{\Phi_0}^{-1} \otimes I_E\|_{cb(\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0), \Phi_E^{p'}(\Sigma_0))} < \infty$$

where the supremum is taken over the family of finite subsets Σ_0 of Σ .

- The operator space E is said to have *Riesz cotype* p' with respect to Φ , or simply Φ -cotype p' , if

$$\mathcal{K}_{2p'}(E, \Phi) = \sup \|\mathcal{F}_{\Phi_0} \otimes I_E\|_{cb(\Phi_E^p(\Sigma_0), \mathcal{L}_E^{p'}(\Sigma_0))} < \infty.$$

The supremum is taken again over the family of finite subsets Σ_0 of Σ .

- The operator space E is said to have *strong Riesz cotype* p' with respect to Φ , or simply strong Φ -cotype p' , if

$$\mathcal{K}_{3p'}(E, \Phi) = \|\mathcal{F}_{\Phi, E}\|_{cb(L_E^p(\Omega), \mathcal{L}_E^{p'}(\Sigma))} < \infty$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi, E}$ denotes the extension of $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi} \otimes I_E$ to $L_E^p(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.6 Let us note that, if E has Φ -type p , then in particular there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr}(A^\sigma \varphi^\sigma) \right\|_{L_E^{p'}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^p(E)}^p \right)^{1/p}$$

for any finite subset Σ_0 of Σ and any $A \in \mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0)$. This expression is now much closer to the classical notion of Riesz type. In fact, for $d_\sigma = 1$ and $\Sigma_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we recover the classical definition. Analogous remarks hold for the Riesz cotype and the strong Riesz cotype.

Remark 2.7 We point out here that, as in the classical theory, a notion of strong Riesz type would be superfluous since it would coincide with that of Riesz type. The proof of this fact is an easy consequence of the density of the subspace of $\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma)$ formed by the elements A with finite support, that is with $A^\sigma \neq 0$ only for finitely many $\sigma \in \Sigma$. In fact,

$$\mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \Phi) = \|\mathcal{F}_{\Phi, E}^{-1}\|_{cb(\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma), L_E^{p'}(\Omega))}.$$

Again as in the classical case, this equivalence is not necessarily valid for the cotype. Moreover, we have the obvious estimate $\mathcal{K}_{2p'}(E, \Phi) \leq \mathcal{K}_{3p'}(E, \Phi)$ for any u.b.q.o.s. Φ , any operator space E and any $1 \leq p \leq 2$. However, the Φ -cotype is equivalent to the strong Φ -cotype when Φ is complete. In this paper we shall mainly be concerned with the Riesz type and cotype. We have defined the strong Riesz cotype because, as we shall see below, it is the right notion for duality.

Remark 2.8 Sometimes in the sequel we shall also use the notion of Ψ -type 2 and Ψ -cotype 2 for some quantized orthonormal systems Ψ which fail to be uniformly bounded.

These definitions are illustrated in [5] and [4] where the Fourier type and cotype of an operator space with respect to a compact group are investigated. Namely, if G is a compact group with dual object Γ , then Fourier type p with respect to G is nothing but the Γ -cotype p' (or strong Γ -cotype p' since Γ is complete in $L^2(G)$ by the Peter-Weyl theorem). Moreover, Fourier cotype p' with respect to G coincides with Γ -type p . This conflict in our terminology goes back to the commutative theory, where Fourier type p with respect to the torus \mathbb{T} means \mathbb{Z} -cotype p' (or equivalently strong \mathbb{Z} -cotype p'), see [3].

In what follows we assume the reader is familiar with the properties of Fourier type and cotype stated in [5] and [4]. In fact, we omit the proof of the following results, since the arguments to be used can be found there.

- (a) **Trivial exponents.** Every operator space has Riesz type 1 and strong Riesz cotype ∞ with respect to any u.b.q.o.s. Φ . Moreover, we have the estimates $\mathcal{K}_{11}(E, \Phi)$, $\mathcal{K}_{2\infty}(E, \Phi)$, $\mathcal{K}_{3\infty}(E, \Phi) \leq M_\Phi$.
- (b) **Subspaces.** The Riesz type is preserved when passing to subspaces. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}_{1p}(F, \Phi) \leq \mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \Phi)$ for any closed subspace F of E . The same holds for the Riesz cotype and the strong Riesz cotype.
- (c) **Complex interpolation.** Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and let E_0 and E_1 be operator spaces having Φ -type p_0 and p_1 respectively. Then, if (E_0, E_1) is compatible for complex interpolation, the interpolated operator space $(E_0, E_1)_\theta$ has Φ -type $p_\theta = p_0 p_1 ((1 - \theta)p_1 + \theta p_0)^{-1}$. In particular, the Riesz type p becomes a stronger condition on a given operator space as p approaches 2. Similar assertions hold for the Riesz cotype and the strong Riesz cotype.
- (d) **Duality.** $\mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \Phi) = \mathcal{K}_{3p'}(E^*, \Phi)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1p}(E^*, \Phi) = \mathcal{K}_{3p'}(E, \Phi)$. That is, Riesz type and strong Riesz cotype are dual notions.
- (e) **Local theory.** If d_{cb} stands for the cb -distance between two operator spaces, we have $\mathcal{K}_{1p}(E_2, \Phi) \leq d_{cb}(E_1, E_2) \mathcal{K}_{1p}(E_1, \Phi)$. The same holds for the Riesz cotype and the strong Riesz cotype.

(f) **Degenerate case.** Let us assume that the index set Σ associated to the u.b.q.o.s. Φ is finite, then we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \Phi), \mathcal{K}_{2p'}(E, \Phi), \mathcal{K}_{3p'}(E, \Phi) \leq M_\Phi \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} d_\sigma^2 \right)^{1/p'}.$$

(g) **Lebesgue spaces.** Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. Let (X, \mathcal{N}, ν) be a σ -finite measure space, then $L^q(X)$ has Φ -type $\min(q, q')$ and strong Φ -cotype $\max(q, q')$. Similar results hold for Schatten classes. Moreover, $L_E^q(X)$ and $S^q(E)$ have Φ -type $\min(q, q')$ and strong Φ -cotype $\max(q, q')$ whenever E does.

Remark 2.9 In what follows we shall assume that Σ is not finite.

3 The Kwapien theorem for operator spaces

We begin by defining the quantized version of the classical Rademacher system. This notion is extracted from [10], where the authors use it to study random Fourier series on non-commutative compact groups. From now on we fix a probability measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ with no atoms, an index set Σ and a family of positive integers \mathbf{d}_Σ .

Definition 3.1 The quantized *Rademacher* system associated to $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$ is defined by a collection $\mathcal{R} = \{\rho^\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow O(d_\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of independent random orthogonal matrices, uniformly distributed on the orthogonal group $O(d_\sigma)$ equipped with its normalized Haar measure ν_σ .

Remark 3.2 Similarly, the quantized *Steinhaus* system associated to $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$ is a collection $\mathcal{S} = \{\xi^\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow U(d_\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of independent random unitary matrices, uniformly distributed on the unitary group $U(d_\sigma)$ equipped with its normalized Haar measure λ_σ . It is easy to check that both Rademacher and Steinhaus systems are u.b.q.o.s.'s with uniform bound $M_{\mathcal{R}} = M_{\mathcal{S}} = 1$. Moreover, the notions of \mathcal{R} -type p and \mathcal{S} -type p are equivalent for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Namely, the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}(A)\|_{L_B^q(\Omega)} \leq \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(A)\|_{L_B^q(\Omega)} \leq 2 \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}(A)\|_{L_B^q(\Omega)}$$

were proved in [10] for any Banach space B , any A supported in any finite subset Σ_0 of Σ and any $1 \leq q < \infty$. Hence, given an operator space E , we

just need to take $B = S_n^{p'}(E)$ for any $n \geq 1$ and $q = p'$ to see this equivalence. Similar arguments are valid to show that the same equivalence holds between \mathcal{R} -cotype and \mathcal{S} -cotype. Moreover, the equivalence between both systems with respect to the strong Riesz cotype follows by duality. Therefore, although the results obtained will be valid for both systems, we shall work only with the quantized Rademacher system.

Remark 3.3 Let $R_p(E)$ be the closure in $L_E^p[0, 1]$ of the subspace of linear combinations of the classical Rademacher functions r_1, r_2, \dots with E -valued coefficients. In particular, we shall write R_p for the closure in $L^p[0, 1]$ of the subspace spanned by r_1, r_2, \dots . The classical Khintchine-Kahane inequalities can be rephrased by saying that the norm of $R_p(E)$, regarded as a Banach space, is equivalent to that of $R_q(E)$ whenever $1 \leq p \neq q < \infty$. In particular we can put any exponent $1 \leq q < \infty$ in the defining inequality of Rademacher type p (resp. cotype p') for (the underlying Banach space of) E

$$(1) \quad c_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|e_k\|_E^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n e_k r_k \right\|_{L_E^q[0,1]} \leq c_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|e_k\|_E^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

On the other hand $R_p(E)$ has a natural operator space structure inherited from $L_E^p[0, 1]$. It is a remarkable fact that the norm of $R_p(E)$ is not completely equivalent to that of $R_q(E)$. That is, the operator spaces $R_p(E)$ and $R_q(E)$ are isomorphic but not completely isomorphic. The proof of this fact is due to Pisier and it can be found in Chapter 8 of [13]. If we replace r_1, r_2, \dots by the entries of a quantized Rademacher system \mathcal{R} , then we obtain an operator space $\mathcal{R}_p(E)$ which is Banach isomorphic but not completely isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_q(E)$ whenever $1 \leq p \neq q < \infty$. This equivalence of the norms, which fails to be complete, follows from a version of the Khintchine-Kahane inequalities for \mathcal{R} stated in [10]. Therefore, in contrast with (1), each election of the exponent $1 \leq q < \infty$ in definition 2.5 gives different notions of Rademacher type and cotype. For instance, one could be tempted to take q to be 2 no matter which would be the value of p . In fact, this alternative definition becomes very useful in some other contexts which do not appear in this paper, such as the study of the notion of *non-trivial Rademacher type*. In any case, we have no risk in this paper to choose the wrong definition since we shall mainly be concerned with the quadratic case $p = 2$.

Now we prove the extremality of the quantized Rademacher system with respect to Riesz type and cotype among the family of uniformly bounded

quantized orthonormal systems. We shall need the following version, given in [10], of the classical contraction principle.

Lemma 3.4 *Let B be a Banach space, $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$ finite, $A^\sigma \in M_{d_\sigma} \otimes B$ and $D^\sigma \in M_{d_\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$. Then, for any $1 \leq q < \infty$ we have*

$$\left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(A^\sigma \rho^\sigma D^\sigma) \right\|_{L_B^q(\Omega)} \leq \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} \|D^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^\infty} \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(A^\sigma \rho^\sigma) \right\|_{L_B^q(\Omega)}.$$

Proposition 3.5 *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and let p' denote its conjugate exponent. Then, the following holds for any operator space E and any u.b.q.o.s. Φ :*

1. *If E has Φ -type p , then E has \mathcal{R} -type p .*
2. *If E has Φ -cotype p' , then E has \mathcal{R} -cotype p' .*

Proof. The case $p = 1$ is trivial, hence we assume that E has Φ -type p for some $1 < p \leq 2$. First we recall the completely isometric isomorphism

$$(2) \quad S_n^{p'}(L_E^{p'}(\Omega)) = L_{S_n^{p'}(E)}^{p'}(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, by the orthonormality of Φ we have

$$(3) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\varphi^\sigma|^2 d\mu = I_{M_{d_\sigma}}$$

for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Hence, given $n \geq 1$ and $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we apply (2), (3), Jensen's inequality and the contraction principle stated in lemma 3.4 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(A_{ij}^\sigma \rho^\sigma) \right) \right\|_{S_n^{p'}(L_E^{p'}(\Omega))} \\ &= \left[\int_{\Omega} \left\| \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}[\rho^\sigma(\omega_1) |\varphi^\sigma(\omega_2)|^2 A_{ij}^\sigma] \right) d\mu(\omega_2) \right\|_{S_n^{p'}(E)}^{p'} d\mu(\omega_1) \right]^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left\| \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}[\rho^\sigma(\omega_1) \varphi^\sigma(\omega_2)^* \varphi^\sigma(\omega_2) A_{ij}^\sigma] \right) \right\|_{S_n^{p'}(E)}^{p'} d\mu(\omega_1) d\mu(\omega_2) \right]^{1/p'} \\ &\leq M_\Phi \left[\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left\| \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}[\rho^\sigma(\omega_1) \varphi^\sigma(\omega_2) A_{ij}^\sigma] \right) \right\|_{S_n^{p'}(E)}^{p'} d\mu(\omega_2) d\mu(\omega_1) \right]^{1/p'} \\ &\leq M_\Phi \mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \Phi) \left[\int_{\Omega} \left\| \left(A_{ij}^\sigma \rho^\sigma(\omega_1) \right)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} \right\|_{S_n^{p'}(\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0))}^{p'} d\mu(\omega_1) \right]^{1/p'} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by virtue of Lemma 1.7 of [13], it remains to see that

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} \left\| \left(A_{ij}^{\sigma} \rho^{\sigma}(\omega_1) \right)_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} \right\|_{S_n^{p'}(\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0))}^{p'} d\mu(\omega_1) \right]^{1/p'} = \left\| \left(A_{ij} \right) \right\|_{S_n^{p'}(\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0))}.$$

To that aim it suffices to check that the mapping $A \mapsto (A^{\sigma} \rho^{\sigma}(\omega))_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0}$ is a complete isometry from $\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0)$ into itself. But this follows from the fact that $\rho^{\sigma}(\omega) \in O(d_{\sigma})$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and all $\omega \in \Omega$, see Lemma 1.6 of [13]. This gives the estimate $\mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \mathcal{R}) \leq M_{\Phi} \mathcal{K}_{1p}(E, \Phi)$. Similar arguments give the relation $\mathcal{K}_{2p'}(E, \mathcal{R}) \leq M_{\Phi} \mathcal{K}_{2p'}(E, \Phi)$. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 3.6 By duality, a similar result holds for the strong cotype.

The following is a classical result which characterizes, in terms of the convergence of some series of vector-valued random variables, Rademacher type (resp. cotype) 2 Banach spaces. The proof can be found in [1], see Theorem 7.2 of Chapter 3.

Lemma 3.7 *The following assertions hold:*

1. *The Banach space B has Rademacher type 2 if and only if there exists a sequence ζ_1, ζ_2, \dots of mean zero independent random variables in $L^2(\Omega)$ with $0 < c_1 \leq \|\zeta_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_2 < \infty$ and such that, if x_1, x_2, \dots is any sequence in B , then we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_B^2 < \infty \implies \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \zeta_k \text{ converges a.s.}$$

2. *The Banach space B has Rademacher cotype 2 if and only if there exists a sequence ζ_1, ζ_2, \dots of mean zero independent random variables in $L^2(\Omega)$ with $0 < c_1 \leq \|\zeta_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_2 < \infty$ and such that, if x_1, x_2, \dots is any sequence in B , then we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n x_k \zeta_k \text{ converges in } L^2(\Omega) \implies \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_B^2 < \infty.$$

Lemma 3.8 *The following assertions hold:*

1. *Let E be an operator space having \mathcal{R} -type 2, then the underlying Banach space has Rademacher type 2.*

2. Let E be an operator space having \mathcal{R} -cotype 2, then the underlying Banach space has Rademacher cotype 2.

Proof. Let us take a countable subset $\{\sigma_k : k \geq 1\}$ of Σ . Then we define the random variables $\zeta_k = \sqrt{d_{\sigma_k}} \rho_{11}^{\sigma_k}$ for $k \geq 1$. The sequence ζ_1, ζ_2, \dots is orthonormal in $L^2(\Omega)$ and is made up of mean zero independent random variables. Moreover, if we take any square-summable sequence x_1, x_2, \dots in E and $A^k \in M_{d_{\sigma_k}} \otimes E$ is defined by $A_{ij}^k = \delta_{i1} \delta_{j1} d_{\sigma_k}^{-1/2} x_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} x_k \zeta_k \right\|_{L_E^2(\Omega)} &= \left\| \sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} d_{\sigma_k} \operatorname{tr}(A^k \rho^{\sigma_k}) \right\|_{L_E^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \mathcal{R}) \left(\sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} d_{\sigma_k} \|A^k\|_{S_{d_{\sigma_k}}^2(E)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \mathcal{R}) \left(\sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} \|x_k\|_E^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\left(\sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} \|x_k\|_E^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \mathcal{K}_{22}(E, \mathcal{R}) \left\| \sum_{k=m_1+1}^{m_2} x_k \zeta_k \right\|_{L_E^2(\Omega)}.$$

That is, we have proved that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|_E^2 < \infty \iff \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \zeta_k \text{ converges in } L_E^2(\Omega).$$

But convergence in $L_E^2(\Omega)$ implies a.s. convergence for these kind of series, see Theorem 2.10 in Chapter 3 of [1]. The proof is concluded by applying lemma 3.7. ■

Remark 3.9 By duality, a similar result holds for the strong cotype.

In this section we explore Kwapien theorem for the present context. That is, completely isomorphic characterizations of Pisier's OH Hilbertian operator spaces by means of quantized orthonormal systems. Roughly speaking, an OH operator space is the only possible quantization on a Hilbert space such that the canonical identification between the resulting operator space and its antidual is a complete isometry, see [12] for a complete study of these spaces. In other words, the OH operator spaces are the natural substitutes of classical Hilbert spaces in the category of operator spaces.

Theorem 3.10 *Let Φ be any u.b.q.o.s. associated to the parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$. Let E be an operator space, then the following are equivalent:*

1. E is completely isomorphic to some OH Hilbertian operator space.
2. E has Φ -type and Φ -cotype 2.

Proof. We begin by showing $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$. Let us assume that E is completely isomorphic to $\text{OH}(\mathbf{I})$, for some index set \mathbf{I} . Then we invoke the general results stated in section 2 to write $\mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \Phi) \leq d_{cb}(E, \text{OH}(\mathbf{I})) \mathcal{K}_{12}(\text{OH}(\mathbf{I}), \Phi)$. But $\text{OH}(\mathbf{I})$ is completely isometric to $l^2(\mathbf{I})$ and it is not difficult to check that $\mathcal{K}_{12}(l^2(\mathbf{I}), \Phi) = 1$. This shows that E has Φ -type 2. Similar arguments are valid to see that E also has Φ -cotype 2.

Now we see $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$. Let us suppose that E has Φ -type and Φ -cotype 2. By proposition 3.5 we can replace Φ by the quantized Rademacher system \mathcal{R} of parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$. We know that $S^2(E)$ also has \mathcal{R} -type and \mathcal{R} -cotype 2, see again the general results of section 2. Now, lemma 3.8 gives that (the underlying Banach space of) $S^2(E)$ has Rademacher type and cotype 2. In particular, $S^2(E)$ is isomorphic to some Hilbert space. By Kwapien's original theorem, this geometric condition on $S^2(E)$ is equivalent to the existence of a constant c such that $\|T \otimes I_{S^2(E)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(l_n^2(S^2(E)), l_n^2(S^2(E)))} \leq c \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(l_n^2, l_n^2)}$ for any linear mapping $T : l_n^2 \rightarrow l_n^2$ and any $n \geq 1$, see [6]. On the other hand, the Fubini complete isometry $l_n^2(S^2(E)) \simeq S^2(l_n^2(E))$ given in [13] allows us to write the last inequality as $\|T \otimes I_E\|_{cb(l_n^2(E), l_n^2(E))} \leq c \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(l_n^2, l_n^2)}$. Finally, Pisier proved that this condition is equivalent to condition 1, see Theorem 6.11 of [13]. This completes the proof. ■

4 Complete quantized orthonormal systems

In this section we extend the operator space version of Kwapien theorem to complete quantized orthonormal systems, uniformly bounded or not. To that end we begin by recalling that, since $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ has no atoms, we can define a family of dyadic sets D_j^k on Ω , where $1 \leq j \leq 2^k$ and $k \geq 1$, satisfying the following conditions:

- $D_j^k = D_{2j-1}^{k+1} \cup D_{2j}^{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and all $1 \leq j \leq 2^k$.
- Ω is the disjoint union of D_j^k for any fixed $k \geq 1$ and all $1 \leq j \leq 2^k$.

- The sets D_j^k are μ -measurable and $\mu(D_j^k) = 2^{-k}$.

Then, if 1_Λ stands for the characteristic function of a measurable set $\Lambda \subset \Omega$, we define the system Δ on $L^2(\Omega)$ by the functions

$$\delta_k = \sum_{j=1}^{2^k} (-1)^{j+1} 1_{D_j^k}.$$

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\Psi = \{\psi^\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow M_{d_\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ be a complete quantized orthonormal system. Let $\{\varepsilon_n : n \geq 1\}$ be any sequence of positive numbers. Then there exists a sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of Ψ -polynomials and an increasing subsequence k_1, k_2, \dots of positive integers satisfying:*

1. $\mathcal{F}_\Psi(f_1), \mathcal{F}_\Psi(f_2), \dots$ have pairwise disjoint supports on Σ .
2. $\|f_n - \delta_{k_n}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \varepsilon_n$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and let us fix $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\sigma$. Then, since Δ is orthonormal in $L^2(\Omega)$, Bessel inequality provides the following estimate

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}_\Psi(\delta_k)_{ij}^\sigma|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \int_{\Omega} \delta_k(\omega) \overline{\psi_{ji}^\sigma(\omega)} d\mu(\omega) \right|^2 \leq \|\psi_{ji}^\sigma\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \frac{1}{d_\sigma} < \infty.$$

In particular, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and for all finite subset $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$ there exists a positive integer $m(\Sigma_0, \epsilon)$ such that for all $k \geq m(\Sigma_0, \epsilon)$ we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\sigma} |\mathcal{F}_\Psi(\delta_k)_{ij}^\sigma|^2 < \epsilon.$$

On the other hand, let Ψ_0 be the space of Ψ -polynomials. That is, Ψ_0 is the span of the entries ψ_{ij}^σ where $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\sigma$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Then we construct the functions f_1, f_2, \dots as follows:

- Let $f_1 \in \Psi_0$ be such that $\|f_1 - \delta_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \varepsilon_1$.
- For $n > 1$, let $\epsilon_n = \varepsilon_n/3$ and let

$$\Sigma_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}_\Psi(f_k)) \subset \Sigma.$$

If $k_n = m(\Sigma_n, \epsilon_n)$ we take g_n to be any function in Ψ_0 satisfying the estimate $\|g_n - \delta_{k_n}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \epsilon_n$. Then we define

$$f_n = g_n - \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} d_\sigma \text{tr}(\mathcal{F}_\Psi(g_n)^\sigma \psi^\sigma).$$

The verification that the sequence f_1, f_2, \dots satisfies the required properties is left to the reader. This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 4.2 *Let Ψ be any complete quantized orthonormal system with parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$. Let E be an operator space, the following are equivalent:*

1. E is completely isomorphic to some OH Hilbertian operator space.
2. E has Ψ -type and Ψ -cotype 2.

Proof. The arguments used in theorem 3.10 to see $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ are also valid here. Let us prove that $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$. First we recall that, by lemma 4.1, there exists a sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of Ψ -polynomials

$$f_n = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\sigma} \alpha_{ij}^\sigma \psi_{ij}^\sigma$$

where $\alpha_{ij}^\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$, Σ_n is some finite subset of Σ and such that

- $\Sigma_{n_1} \cap \Sigma_{n_2} = \emptyset$ whenever $n_1 \neq n_2$.
- $\|f_n - \delta_{k_n}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < 2^{-n}$.

Now, if E has Ψ -type and Ψ -cotype 2 then the same holds for $F = S^2(E)$. In particular, for any family $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ in F , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m x_n \delta_{k_n} \right\|_{L_F^2(\Omega)} \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m x_n (\delta_{k_n} - f_n) \right\|_{L_F^2(\Omega)} + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m x_n f_n \right\|_{L_F^2(\Omega)} = A + B.$$

By Hölder's inequality we get

$$A \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|f_n - \delta_{k_n}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|_F^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|_F^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

And, in order to estimate B, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m x_n \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq d_\sigma} \alpha_{ij}^\sigma \psi_{ij}^\sigma \right\|_{L_F^2(\Omega)} \\
&= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}[(\mathcal{F}_\Psi(f_n)^\sigma \otimes x_n) \psi^\sigma] \right\|_{L_F^2(\Omega)} \\
&\leq \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \Psi) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|_F^2 \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} d_\sigma \|\mathcal{F}_\Psi(f_n)^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&= \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \Psi) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|_F^2 \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2 \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \Psi) \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|x_n\|_F^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, if Δ' stands for the system in $L^2(\Omega)$ defined by the functions $\delta_{k_1}, \delta_{k_2}, \dots$, then we have shown that F has Δ' -type 2 in the sense of [3]. But this is equivalent to saying that E has Δ' -type 2 in the sense of definition 2.5. Similar arguments are valid to see that E also has Δ' -cotype 2. Then the proof is concluded by applying theorem 3.10. ■

Remark 4.3 The analog of Kwapien's argument given in [6] for this result does not work. Namely, if \mathcal{R} denotes the quantized Rademacher system with parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$, the idea is to use the completeness of Ψ to construct a sequence $f^{\sigma_1}, f^{\sigma_2}, \dots$ of matrix-valued Ψ -polynomials with non-overlapping ranges of frequencies and such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|\rho^{\sigma_n} - f^{\sigma_n}\|_{S_{d_{\sigma_n}}^2}^2 d\mu < \varepsilon_n \quad \text{with } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots \text{ small enough.}$$

This sequence exists and its construction is similar to the one provided in lemma 4.1. If \mathcal{R}' denotes the subsystem of \mathcal{R} defined by the functions $\rho^{\sigma_1}, \rho^{\sigma_2}, \dots$, the next step is to show that Ψ -type 2 implies \mathcal{R}' -type 2 and the same for the cotype. Here is where the proof fails. However, it can be checked that it works in the following cases:

- Ψ -type 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}' -type 2 if \mathbf{d}_Σ is bounded.
- Ψ -cotype 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}' -cotype 2 if $d_\sigma = 1$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

5 The probabilistic approach

In this section we introduce the quantization of the classical Gauss system and analyze its important role in the operator space version of Kwapien theorem. First we outline a simple proof of Kwapien theorem for this system and then we give an alternative proof following Kwapien's approach in [6] conveniently adapted to our setting. The reason for this approach will be clear in corollary 5.7.

Definition 5.1 Let $\{\gamma_{ij}^\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ be a family of independent real gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance 1. Then the collection $\mathcal{G} = \{\gamma^\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow M_{d_\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$, where γ^σ stands for the random matrix

$$\gamma^\sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\sigma}} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{ij}^\sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

defines the quantized *gaussian* system associated to $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$.

Remark 5.2 Analogously, considering a priori complex gaussian random variables, we get the quantized *complex gaussian* system associated to $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$.

This quantized system satisfies orthonormality but fail to be uniformly bounded or complete. So all the previous results do not seem to be valid for the quantized gaussian system. However, it is not difficult to check that lemma 3.8 remains valid when we replace the quantized Rademacher system \mathcal{R} by the quantized gaussian system \mathcal{G} . In particular, the proof of theorem 3.10 also holds for \mathcal{G} .

We are giving an alternative approach to this result. Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be the probability space formed by the product of infinitely many copies of Ω

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mu} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k$$

with $\Omega_k = \Omega$ and $\mu_k = \mu$ for all $k \geq 1$. The random matrix $\rho^{\sigma,k} : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow O(d_\sigma)$ is defined as a copy of ρ^σ , the σ -th Rademacher function, depending only on the k -th coordinate. Also, for each positive integer m , we define

$$\rho^\sigma(m) : \tilde{\Omega} \longrightarrow M_{d_\sigma} \quad \text{as} \quad \rho^\sigma(m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{k=1}^m \rho^{\sigma,k}.$$

Finally, we construct a quantized gaussian system $\{\tilde{\gamma}^\sigma : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow M_{d_\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ on $\tilde{\Omega}$ associated to the parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$. We state a slight modification of the central limit theorem in type 2 spaces, see [1] for the classical statement of that result. It is nothing but an analog, for Banach-valued random variables, of Lemma 2.1 in [6]. Let us fix a finite subset $\Sigma_0 = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n\}$ of Σ .

Proposition 5.3 *Let $h : S_{d_{\sigma_1}}^2 \times \dots \times S_{d_{\sigma_n}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that*

$$(4) \quad h(D^{\sigma_1}, \dots, D^{\sigma_n}) e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \|D^{\sigma_j}\|} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \|D^{\sigma_j}\|_{S_{d_{\sigma_j}}^2} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} h(\rho^{\sigma_1}(m), \dots, \rho^{\sigma_n}(m)) d\tilde{\mu} = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} h(\tilde{\gamma}^{\sigma_1}, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}^{\sigma_n}) d\tilde{\mu}$.

Sketch of the proof. By using the orthonormality relations of quantized Rademacher and gaussian systems, one easily gets that the distribution of $\tilde{\gamma}^\sigma$ is a centered cylindrical gaussian measure with the same covariance as that of $\rho^{\sigma, k}$ for all $k \geq 1$. Hence, by the central limit theorem in type 2 spaces, the joint distribution of $(\rho^{\sigma_1}(m), \dots, \rho^{\sigma_n}(m))$ converges weakly to the joint distribution of $(\tilde{\gamma}^{\sigma_1}, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}^{\sigma_n})$. Now, if we write $S_{\Sigma_0}^2 = S_{d_{\sigma_1}}^2 \times \dots \times S_{d_{\sigma_n}}^2$, we define the Banach space B of all continuous functions $h : S_{\Sigma_0}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (4) and with the norm given by

$$\|h\|_B = \sup \left\{ |h(D^{\sigma_1}, \dots, D^{\sigma_n})| e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n \|D^{\sigma_j}\|} : (D^{\sigma_1}, \dots, D^{\sigma_n}) \in S_{\Sigma_0}^2 \right\}.$$

We also define the following functionals on B

$$T(h) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} h(\tilde{\gamma}^{\sigma_1}, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}^{\sigma_n}) d\tilde{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad T_m(h) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} h(\rho^{\sigma_1}(m), \dots, \rho^{\sigma_n}(m)) d\tilde{\mu}.$$

Following the arguments given in Lemma 2.1 of [6], it suffices to check that T and T_m are well-defined and that $\sup \|T_m\| < \infty$. T_m is well-defined since $h(\rho^{\sigma_1}(m), \dots, \rho^{\sigma_n}(m))$ is a bounded function. On the other hand,

$$|T(h)| \leq \|h\|_B \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{S_{d_{\sigma_j}}^2} \exp \|D^{\sigma_j}\|_{S_{d_{\sigma_j}}^2} d\mu_{\tilde{\gamma}^{\sigma_j}}(D^{\sigma_j})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|h\|_B \prod_{j=1}^n \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \prod_{1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq d_{\sigma_j}} \exp \left| \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{i_1 i_2}^{\sigma_j}(\tilde{\omega})}{\sqrt{d_{\sigma_j}}} \right| d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\omega}) \\
&\leq \|h\|_B \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq d_{\sigma_j}} \left(\int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \exp d_{\sigma_j}^2 \left| \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{i_1 i_2}^{\sigma_j}(\tilde{\omega})}{\sqrt{d_{\sigma_j}}} \right| d\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{\omega}) \right)^{1/d_{\sigma_j}^2} \\
&= \|h\|_B \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq d_{\sigma_j}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp |d_{\sigma_j}^{3/2} s| \exp(-s^2/2) ds \right)^{1/d_{\sigma_j}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the obvious inequality $\|D\|_{S_n^2} \leq \sum_{ij} |D_{ij}|$ and the generalized Hölder inequality. Therefore T is well-defined. Similar arguments give the uniform boundedness of $\|T_m\|$. ■

Before the proof of Kwapien theorem for the quantized gaussian system we need to state a couple of lemmas. Let D_1, D_2 be orthogonal $d_\sigma \times d_\sigma$ matrices, then $D_1 \gamma^\sigma D_2$ and γ^σ have the same distribution. The next result can be found in [10], it follows from this ‘sign invariance’ and the contraction principle stated above.

Lemma 5.4 *Let B be a Banach space. There exists a positive constant c , such that for any finite set Σ_0 of Σ , we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr}(A^\sigma \rho^\sigma) \right\|_B^2 d\mu \leq c \int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr}(A^\sigma \gamma^\sigma) \right\|_B^2 d\mu.$$

The following result is a completely isomorphic characterization of OH operator spaces given by Pisier in [13]. It can be regarded as the version for operator spaces of a previous isomorphic characterization of Hilbert spaces given by Kwapien, see (iv) of Proposition 3.1 in [6].

Lemma 5.5 *Let E be an operator space. Then E is completely isomorphic to some OH Hilbertian operator space if and only if there exists a positive constant c such that for any $n \geq 1$ and any linear mapping $T : S_n^2 \rightarrow S_n^2$, we have*

$$\|T \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_n^2(E), S_n^2(E))} \leq c \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_n^2, S_n^2)}.$$

In the next result we assume that the gaussian system we work with takes values in arbitrary large matrices. We need to require that in view of the proof we are giving. Although, as we have seen, this requirement is not necessary, it will become very natural in corollary 5.7.

Theorem 5.6 *Let \mathcal{G} be the gaussian system with parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$. Let us assume that \mathbf{d}_Σ is unbounded, then the following are equivalent:*

1. *E is completely isomorphic to some OH Hilbertian operator space.*
2. *E has \mathcal{G} -type and \mathcal{G} -cotype 2.*

Proof. Let us prove that $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$. Let us assume that E is completely isomorphic to some OH(I). If \mathcal{R} denotes the quantized Rademacher system with parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$, then we know by theorem 3.10 that E has \mathcal{R} -type and \mathcal{R} -cotype 2. But then lemma 5.4 gives that E has \mathcal{G} -cotype 2. Let us see that E also has \mathcal{G} -type 2. Here we recall that any Banach space B with Rademacher type 2 satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \phi_k \right\|_B^2 d\mu \leq c \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \|\phi_k\|_B^2 d\mu$$

for some universal constant c and any family $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ of mean zero independent B -valued random variables in $L^2(\Omega)$. In particular, since (by lemma 3.8) the underlying Banach space of $S_n^2(E)$ has Rademacher type 2 for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr}(A^\sigma \rho^\sigma(m)) \right\|_{S_n^2(L_E^2(\bar{\Omega}))} &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr} \left[\frac{A^\sigma \rho^{\sigma,k}}{\sqrt{m}} \right] \right\|_{L_{S_n^2(E)}^2(\bar{\Omega})} \\ &\leq c \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr} \left[\frac{A^\sigma \rho^{\sigma,k}}{\sqrt{m}} \right] \right\|_{L_{S_n^2(E)}^2(\Omega_k)} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \mathcal{R}) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma n}^2(E)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $h(D^{\sigma_1}, \dots, D^{\sigma_n}) = \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr}(A^\sigma D^\sigma) \right\|_{S_n^2(E)}^2$. Let us see that h satisfies hypothesis (4) of proposition 5.3. First we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{tr}(A^\sigma D^\sigma)\|_{S_n^2(E)} &= \sup_{\|T\|_{S_n^2(E^*)} \leq 1} \text{tr}(A^\sigma(D^\sigma \otimes T)) \\ &\leq \sup_{\|T\|_{S_n^2(E^*)} \leq 1} \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma n}^2(E)} \|D^\sigma \otimes T\|_{S_{d_\sigma n}^2(E^*)} \\ &= \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma n}^2(E)} \|D^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(D^{\sigma_1}, \dots, D^{\sigma_n}) &\leq \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_{\sigma_n}}^2(E)} \|D^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma^2 \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_{\sigma_n}}^2(E)}^2 \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} \|D^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so h satisfies (4). In particular, we apply proposition 5.3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(A^\sigma \gamma^\sigma) \right\|_{S_n^2(L_E^2(\Omega))} &= \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(A^\sigma \tilde{\gamma}^\sigma) \right\|_{S_n^2(L_E^2(\tilde{\Omega}))} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(A^\sigma \rho^\sigma(m)) \right\|_{S_n^2(L_E^2(\tilde{\Omega}))} \\ &\leq c \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \mathcal{R}) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_{\sigma_n}}^2(E)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.7 of [13], we obtain that E has \mathcal{G} -type 2 and the proof of (1 \Rightarrow 2) is concluded.

Now we see (2 \Rightarrow 1). By the unboundedness of \mathbf{d}_Σ and lemma 5.5 it suffices to see that there exists a positive constant c such that, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and any linear mapping $T : S_{d_\sigma}^2 \rightarrow S_{d_\sigma}^2$, we have

$$(5) \quad \|T \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_{d_\sigma}^2(E), S_{d_\sigma}^2(E))} \leq c \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_{d_\sigma}^2, S_{d_\sigma}^2)}.$$

By homogeneity it is enough to see (5) for T in the unit ball B_σ of $\mathcal{B}(S_{d_\sigma}^2, S_{d_\sigma}^2)$. But B_σ is a compact, convex set and then every element of B_σ is a convex linear combination of unitary operators, the extreme points of B_σ . Therefore, it suffices to check (5) for T unitary. Let $A \in S_{d_\sigma}^2(E)$ and $T : S_{d_\sigma}^2 \rightarrow S_{d_\sigma}^2$ unitary, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T \otimes I_E(A)\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2(E)} &\leq d_\sigma^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_{22}(E, \mathcal{G}) \|d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^\sigma [T \otimes I_E](A))\|_{L_E^2(\Omega)} \\ &= d_\sigma^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_{22}(E, \mathcal{G}) \|d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(T^*(\gamma^\sigma) A)\|_{L_E^2(\Omega)} \\ &= d_\sigma^{-1/2} \mathcal{K}_{22}(E, \mathcal{G}) \|d_\sigma \operatorname{tr}(\gamma^\sigma A)\|_{L_E^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \mathcal{K}_{22}(E, \mathcal{G}) \mathcal{K}_{12}(E, \mathcal{G}) \|A\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2(E)} \end{aligned}$$

since, by the unitarity of T , the distribution of $T(\gamma^\sigma)$ is the same as that of γ^σ (see Theorem 6.8 in Chapter 3 of [1]). Therefore E satisfies condition (5). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Let Φ be a quantized orthonormal system and let E be an operator space. Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we shall say that E has *Banach Φ -type p* if

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{1p}(E, \Phi) = \sup \|\mathcal{F}_{\Phi_0}^{-1} \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}_E^p(\Sigma_0), \Phi_E^{p'}(\Sigma_0))} < \infty$$

where the supremum runs over the family of finite subsets Σ_0 of Σ . That is, we do not require the complete boundedness of $\mathcal{F}_{\Phi_0}^{-1} \otimes I_E$ as we did in definition 2.5, we just require the boundedness of it. In the same fashion can be defined the *Banach Φ -cotype p'* of an operator space and the subsequent constant $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{2p'}(E, \Phi)$. The following result, which is a consequence of the probabilistic argument employed in the proof of theorem 5.6, shows that the notions of Banach Φ -type and Banach Φ -cotype 2 are the right ones in the operator space version of Kwapien's theorem whenever the quantized system Φ takes values in arbitrary large matrices.

Corollary 5.7 *Let \mathbf{d}_Σ be an unbounded family of positive integers indexed by Σ . Let Φ be any u.b.q.o.s. with parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$. Let E be an operator space, then the following are equivalent:*

1. E is completely isomorphic to some OH Hilbertian operator space.
2. E has Banach Φ -type and Banach Φ -cotype 2.
3. E has Banach \mathcal{G} -type and Banach \mathcal{G} -cotype 2.

Proof. The implication $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ is obvious. Now, $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ follows from proposition 3.5 and the probabilistic proof of theorem 5.6. Recall that the proofs given for both results are still valid when complete boundedness is replaced by boundedness. Finally, $(3 \Rightarrow 1)$ since the proof of theorem 5.6 only uses that E has Banach \mathcal{G} -type and Banach \mathcal{G} -cotype 2. ■

Remark 5.8 Obviously this result fails for \mathbf{d}_Σ bounded. For instance, take Φ to be the classical Rademacher system on $L^2[0, 1]$ or the dual group of the torus \mathbb{T} . In these cases we go back to the classical Kwapien's characterization theorem of Hilbert spaces.

We now extend corollary 5.7 to the case of complete quantized orthonormal systems with \mathbf{d}_Σ unbounded. The proof of this result was kindly communicated to us by Gilles Pisier.

Theorem 5.9 *Let \mathbf{d}_Σ be an unbounded family of positive integers indexed by Σ . Let Ψ be any complete quantized orthonormal system with parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$. Let E be an operator space, then the following are equivalent:*

1. E is completely isomorphic to some OH Hilbertian operator space.
2. E has Banach Ψ -type and Banach Ψ -cotype 2.

Proof. The implication $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ is again obvious. To see that $(2 \Rightarrow 1)$, we begin by recalling that, if E has Banach Ψ -type and Banach Ψ -cotype 2, then (the underlying Banach space of) E is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. That is, the proof of theorem 4.2 can easily be adapted to this setting. Moreover, by another well-known characterization of Kwapien given in [7], we know that there exists a positive constant c such that, for any linear mapping $L : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, we have $\|L \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2_E(\Omega), L^2_E(\Omega))} \leq c \|L\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega))}$. In particular, if Λ^2 is any closed subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ and $\Lambda^2(E) = \Lambda^2 \otimes E$, we get

$$(6) \quad \|L \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda^2(E), \Lambda^2(E))} \leq c \|L\|_{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda^2, \Lambda^2)}$$

for any linear mapping $L : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$. Now, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we consider the space $\Lambda_\sigma^2 = \text{span}\{\psi_{ij}^\sigma : 1 \leq i, j \leq d_\sigma\}$ regarded as a subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ and the space $\Lambda_\sigma^2(E) = \Lambda_\sigma^2 \otimes E$. We also need to consider the linear isomorphism

$$T_2(\sigma) : \begin{array}{ccc} S_{d_\sigma}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_\sigma^2 \\ A & \longmapsto & d_\sigma \text{tr}(A\psi^\sigma). \end{array}$$

The following estimates are clear

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_2(\sigma) \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_{d_\sigma}^2(E), \Lambda_\sigma^2(E))} &\leq d_\sigma^{1/2} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{12}(E, \Psi) \\ \|T_2(\sigma)^{-1} \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_\sigma^2(E), S_{d_\sigma}^2(E))} &\leq d_\sigma^{-1/2} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{22}(E, \Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if we consider a linear mapping $T : S_{d_\sigma}^2 \rightarrow S_{d_\sigma}^2$, then we have that $T = T_2(\sigma)^{-1} \circ L_2(\sigma) \circ T_2(\sigma)$ where $L_2(\sigma) = T_2(\sigma) \circ T \circ T_2(\sigma)^{-1}$ satisfies inequality (6). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|T \otimes I_E\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_{d_\sigma}^2(E), S_{d_\sigma}^2(E))} &\leq \|T_2(\sigma)^{-1} \otimes I_E\| \|L_2(\sigma) \otimes I_E\| \|T_2(\sigma) \otimes I_E\| \\ &\leq c \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{12}(E, \Psi) \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{22}(E, \Psi) \|L_2(\sigma)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_\sigma^2, \Lambda_\sigma^2)} \\ &\leq c \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{12}(E, \Psi)^2 \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{22}(E, \Psi)^2 \|T\|_{\mathcal{B}(S_{d_\sigma}^2, S_{d_\sigma}^2)} \end{aligned}$$

But then we are satisfying the hypothesis of lemma 5.5 since \mathbf{d}_Σ is unbounded. This completes the proof. ■

Remark 5.10 In fact, as it can be checked, the ideas behind the proof of theorem 5.9 are also valid to prove corollary 5.7. In particular, the probabilistic approach given at the beginning of this section becomes unnecessary in order to get corollary 5.7. However, we have included it since we find it as the natural source of ideas for these results.

Let R and C denote the row and column operator spaces respectively. In [12] Pisier defined natural operator space structures on $R \cap C$ and $R + C$ in such a way that the pair $(R \cap C, R + C)$ becomes compatible for complex interpolation. Moreover, Pisier proved in [13] the following surprising complete isomorphism

$$(R \cap C, R + C)_\theta \simeq R_p$$

where $\theta = 1/p$ and R_p is, as we defined in remark 3.3, the closure in $L^p[0, 1]$ of the subspace spanned by the classical Rademacher functions r_1, r_2, \dots endowed with its natural operator space structure. Pisier analyzed in [13] this operator space structure by means of the non-commutative Khintchine inequalities previously developed by him and Lust-Piquard, see [8] and [9]. Now we use the family of operator spaces $\{R_p : 1 \leq p \leq \infty\}$ to illustrate some situations:

- (a₁) Let Φ be any u.b.q.o.s. associated to the parameters $(\Sigma, \mathbf{d}_\Sigma)$ with \mathbf{d}_Σ unbounded. Then R_p has Banach Φ -type 2 for any $2 \leq p < \infty$. Namely, by the classical Khintchine inequalities the underlying Banach space of R_p is isomorphic to that of R_2 for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Moreover, the identity mapping $I : R_p \rightarrow R_2$ is a complete contraction whenever $p \geq 2$. Therefore, there exists some constant c such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr}(A^\sigma \varphi^\sigma) \right\|_{L_{R_p}^2(\Omega)} &\leq c \left\| \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \text{tr}(A^\sigma \varphi^\sigma) \right\|_{L_{R_2}^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{12}(R_2, \Phi) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2(R_2)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{12}(R_2, \Phi) \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_0} d_\sigma \|A^\sigma\|_{S_{d_\sigma}^2(R_p)}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now corollary 5.7 gives that R_p , although being isomorphic to a Hilbert space, can not have Banach Φ -cotype 2 for $2 < p < \infty$ since in that cases R_p is not completely isomorphic to any OH operator space. By

theorem 5.9, the same holds when we work with any complete quantized orthonormal system Ψ with \mathbf{d}_Σ unbounded.

- (a₂) Similarly R_p has Banach Φ -cotype 2 for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$ but it has not Banach Φ -type 2 unless $p = 2$. By theorem 5.9, the same holds for any complete quantized orthonormal system Ψ with \mathbf{d}_Σ unbounded.
- (b) In the commutative theory there exist some systems for which Kwapien theorem holds requiring only one of the type 2 or the cotype 2 conditions. Kwapien showed in [6] that the system of characters of the torus \mathbb{T} presents this kind of autoduality. Another example is given by the system of characters of the Cantor group \mathbb{D} , see [3] or [11] for a proof of this fact. It is easy to see that this autoduality remains valid in our setting. For instance, if E has \mathbb{Z} -type 2, then $S^2(E)$ also does and hence it is isomorphic to some Hilbert space H . But this gives that E is completely isomorphic to some OH, see the proof of theorem 3.10. In particular R_p can not have Fourier type 2 or Fourier cotype 2 with respect to \mathbb{T} or \mathbb{D} unless $p = 2$. On the other hand we know that R_p has Banach Fourier type and Banach Fourier cotype 2 with respect to \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{D} for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Now, it is natural to ask if there exists a non-commutative compact group G with dual object Γ satisfying this autoduality. That is, such that any operator space E having Γ -type 2 or Γ -cotype 2 is completely isomorphic to some OH operator space. At least we know that when \mathbf{d}_Γ is unbounded, by points (a₁) and (a₂), an operator space having Banach Γ -type 2 or Banach Γ -cotype 2 does not have to be completely isomorphic to any OH operator space.

At this point it also becomes natural to ask if Banach Γ -type 2 and Γ -type 2 (resp. Banach Γ -cotype 2 and Γ -cotype 2) are equivalent notions as a consequence of the unboundedness of \mathbf{d}_Γ . At the time of this writing, we can not answer these questions.

References

- [1] A. Araujo and E. Giné, *The Central Limit Theorem for Real and Banach Valued Random Variables*, Wiley Ser. Probab. Stat., 1980.

- [2] E.G. Effros and Z.J. Ruan, *Operator Spaces*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. 23, Oxford Univ. Press, 2000.
- [3] J. García-Cuerva, K. Kazarian, V. Kolyada and J.L. Torrea, *Vector-valued Hausdorff-Young inequality and applications*, Russian Math. Surveys 53 (1998), 435 – 513.
- [4] J. García-Cuerva, J.M. Marco and J. Parcet, *Sharp Fourier type and cotype with respect to compact semisimple Lie groups*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2003).
- [5] J. García-Cuerva and J. Parcet, *Fourier analysis and operator spaces: Vector-valued Hausdorff-Young inequality on compact groups*, Submitted for publication (2003).
- [6] S. Kwapien, *Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients*, Studia Math. 44 (1972), 583 – 595.
- [7] S. Kwapien, *On operators factorizable through L_p -spaces*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire 31 – 32 (1972), 215 – 225.
- [8] F. Lust-Piquard, *Inégalités de Khintchine dans C_p ($1 < p < \infty$)*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 303 (1986), 289-292.
- [9] F. Lust-Piquard and G. Pisier, *Non-commutative Khintchine and Paley inequalities*, Ark. för Mat. 29 (1991), 241 – 260.
- [10] M.B. Marcus and G. Pisier, *Random Fourier Series with Applications to Harmonic Analysis*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 101, 1981.
- [11] A. Pietsch and J. Wenzel, *Orthonormal Systems and Banach Space Geometry*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 70, 1998.
- [12] G. Pisier, *The Operator Hilbert Space OH , Complex Interpolation and Tensor Norms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1996), 1 – 103
- [13] G. Pisier, *Non-commutative vector valued L_p -spaces and completely p -summing maps*, Astérisque 247 (1998), 1 – 111.

Departamento de Matemáticas, C-XV
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid
28049 Madrid, Spain
E-mail: jose.garcia-cuerva@uam.es
E-mail: javier.parcet@uam.es