

THE LEAST COMMON MULTIPLE OF A QUADRATIC SEQUENCE

JAVIER CILLERUELO

ABSTRACT. We obtain, for any irreducible quadratic polynomial $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$, the asymptotic estimate $\log \text{l.c.m.} \{f(1), \dots, f(n)\} \sim n \log n$. When $f(x) = ax^2 + c$ we prove the more precise estimate, $\log \text{l.c.m.} \{f(1), \dots, f(n)\} = n \log n + Bn + o(n)$ for a suitable constant $B = B(a, c)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that $\log \text{l.c.m.} \{1, \dots, n\} \sim n$. Actually it is equivalent to the prime number theorem. The analogous for arithmetic progressions is also known [2],

$$\log \text{l.c.m.} \{a + b, \dots, an + b\} \sim n \frac{a}{\varphi(a)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq a \\ (k, a) = 1}} \frac{1}{k}.$$

We address here the problem of estimate $L_n(f) = \text{l.c.m.} \{f(1), \dots, f(n)\}$, where f is an irreducible quadratic polynomial in \mathbb{Z} . The case $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ has been considered in [1] where the estimate $\log L_n(f) = \log \text{l.c.m.} \{f(1), \dots, f(n)\} \geq An + B$ was obtained in this case for explicit constants $A, B > 0$.

In section §2 we give an asymptotic estimate for a general irreducible quadratic polynomial $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$.

Theorem 1.1. *For any irreducible quadratic polynomial we have*

$$\log \text{l.c.m.} \{f(1), \dots, f(n)\} \sim n \log n.$$

In section §3, which is the main part of this work, we obtain a more precise estimate in some particular cases.

Theorem 1.2. *For any irreducible polynomial $f(x) = ax^2 + c$ we have*

$$\log \text{l.c.m.} \{f(1), \dots, f(n)\} = n \log n + Bn + o(n),$$

for an explicit constant $B = B(a, c)$.

It includes the simplest case $f(x) = x^2 + 1$ with

$$B(1, 1) = -1 - \frac{3 \log 2}{2} - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{p \leq t \\ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}} \frac{2 \log p}{p-1} - \log t \right).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11A05.

Key words and phrases. least common multiple, quadratic sequences.

This work was supported by Grant MTM 2005-04730 of MYCIT (Spain).

To prove theorem 1.2 we need to use a deep result about the distribution of the solutions of the quadratic congruences $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ due to Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec (for $D > 0$), and Toth (for $D < 0$), where $D = b^2 - 4ac$ is the discriminant of f .

Theorem 1.3 ([3], [4]). *For any irreducible quadratic polynomial f , the sequence $\{\nu/p, 0 \leq \nu < p \leq x, f(\nu) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}$ is well distributed in $[0, 1)$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$.*

It would be interesting to extend these estimates to irreducible polynomials of higher degree, but we have found a serious obstruction in our argument. Some heuristic arguments allow us to conjecture that the asymptotic

$$\log \text{l.c.m.} \{f(1), \dots, f(n)\} \sim (\deg(f) - 1)n \log n$$

holds for any irreducible polynomial f .

2. PRELIMINARIES AND THE GENERAL CASE

For any irreducible $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ we write $P_n(f) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(i) = \prod_p p^{\alpha_p}$ and $L_n(f) = \text{l.c.m.}\{f(1), \dots, f(n)\} = \prod_p p^{\beta_p}$.

Lemma 2.1. *With the notation above we have that $\alpha_p = \beta_p$ for $p \geq 2an + b$.*

Proof. Notice that $\alpha_p \neq \beta_p$ if and only if there exist $i, j, i < j \leq n$ such that $p|f(i)$ and $p|f(j)$. In that case we have $p|f(j) - f(i)$, so $p|(j - i)(a(j + i) + b)$ and then $p < 2an + b$. \square

For short we write $L_n = L_n(f)$ and $P_n = P_n(f)$. The lemma above allow us to write

$$(2.1) \quad \log L_n = \log P_n + \sum_{p < 2an+b} (\beta_p - \alpha_p) \log p.$$

We have $\log P_n = \sum_{i \leq n} \log(ai^2 + bi + c) = n \log a + \sum_{i \leq n} 2 \log i + O(\sum_{i \leq n} 1/i)$, so

$$(2.2) \quad \log P_n = 2n \log n + (\log a - 2)n + o(n).$$

Notice also that if $p^\beta | f(i)$ for some $i \leq n$, then $\beta \leq \log f(n) / \log p = O(\log n / \log p)$. Thus $\sum_{p < 2an+b} \beta_p \log p = O(\log n \pi(2an + b)) = O(n)$ and then

$$(2.3) \quad \log L_n = 2n \log n - \sum_{p \leq 2an+b} \alpha_p \log p + O(n).$$

We observe that we can write $\alpha_p = \sum_{x \leq n} \nu_p(f(x))$, where $\nu_p(m)$ denotes the maximum l such that $p^l | m$. As $\nu_p(m) = \sum_k \chi_{p^k}(m)$, with $\chi_{p^k}(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & p^k | m \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha_p = \sum_k \sum_{x \leq n} \chi_{p^k}(f(x)) = \sum_k \sum_{\substack{x \leq n \\ p^k | f(x)}} 1$$

and we obtain the trivial estimate

$$(2.5) \quad s(f; p^k)[n/p^k] \leq \sum_{\substack{x \leq n \\ p^k | f(x)}} 1 \leq s(f; p^k) ([n/p^k] + 1),$$

where $s(f; p^k)$ denotes the number of solutions of $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$, $0 \leq x < p^k$. Since $k \leq \log f(n)/\log p$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha_p = n \sum_{k \leq \log(f(n))/\log p} \frac{s(f, p^k)}{p^k} + O\left(\sum_{k \leq \log f(n)/\log p} s(f; p^k)\right).$$

Lemma below resumes all the casuistic for $s(f, p^k)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ be an irreducible polynomial and $D = b^2 - 4ac$.*

(1) *If $p \nmid 2a$, $D = p^l D_p$, $(D_p, p) = 1$, then*

$$s(f, p^k) = \begin{cases} p^{k - [k/2]}, & k \leq l \\ 0, & k > l, l \text{ odd or } (D_p/p) = -1 \\ 2p^{l/2}, & k > l, l \text{ even } (D_p/p) = 1 \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $p \mid a$, $p \neq 2$ then $s(f, p^k) = \begin{cases} 0, & p \mid b \\ 1, & p \nmid b \end{cases}$*

(3) *If b is odd then $s(f, 2^k) = s(f, 2)$ for any $k \geq 2$.*

(4) *If b is even, let $D = 4^l D'$, $D' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

(a) *If $D' \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, $s(f; 2^k) = \begin{cases} 2^{[k/2]}, & k \leq 2l - 1 \\ 0, & k \geq 2l \end{cases}$*

(b) *If $D' \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $s(f; 2^k) = \begin{cases} 2^{[k/2]}, & k \leq 2l \\ 2^{l+1}, & k \geq 2l + 1 \end{cases}$*

(c) *If $D' \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, $s(f; 2^k) = \begin{cases} 2^{[k/2]}, & k \leq 2l \\ 0, & k \geq 2l + 1 \end{cases}$*

Proof. The proof is a consequence of elementary manipulations and Hensel's lemma. \square

Since for any prime $s(f; p^k)$ is bounded we have

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha_p = n \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{s(f, p^k)}{p^k} + O(\log n / \log p)$$

which gives the trivial estimate $\alpha_p = O(n/p)$ for any prime p .

If $p \nmid 2aD$ then $s(f; p^k) = 2$ or 0 according with $(D/p) = 1$ or -1 . Then, for these primes we have

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha_p = \begin{cases} 0, & (D/p) = -1 \\ \frac{2n}{p-1} + O\left(\frac{\log n}{\log p}\right), & (D/p) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we put together the estimate $\alpha_p = O(n/p)$ for the primes $p \mid 2aD$, the estimate $\pi(x) \ll x/\log x$ and the formulas (2.3) and (2.8) to obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \log L_n = 2n \log n - 2n \sum_{\substack{p \leq 2an+b \\ (D/p)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + O(n).$$

The quadratic reciprocity law allow us to split the residues d , $(d, D) = 1$ in two sets D_1, D_{-1} of the same size, $\varphi(D)/2$, such that $(D/p) = 1 \iff p \equiv d \pmod{D}$

with $d \in D_1$. The prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions says that

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq t \\ p \equiv d \pmod{D}}} \log p = \frac{t}{\varphi(D)} + O(t/\log^2 t)$$

for $d \in D_1$. Then

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq t \\ (D/p)=1}} \log p = \frac{t}{2} + O(t/\log^2 t).$$

Actually a better error term is known in (2.10) and (2.11), but this one is enough to deduce, by partial summation, that

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq t \\ (D/p)=1}} \frac{\log p}{p-1} = \frac{\log t}{2} + A_D + o(1)$$

for a constant A_D . We finish the proof of theorem 1.1 by performing a substitution in (2.9) with the formula above.

3. A MORE PRECISE ESTIMATE FOR $f(x) = ax^2 + c$

We can strength lemma 2.1 when $b = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $f(x) = ax^2 + c$ we have that $\alpha_p = \beta_p$ for $p \geq 2n$.*

Proof. If $\alpha_p > \beta_p$ then there exists $i < j \leq n$ such that $p|ai^2 + c$ and $p|aj^2 + c$. But it implies that $p|a(i-j)(i+j)$, so $p|i-j$, $p|a$ or $p|i+j$. In any case $p < 2n$. \square

Then we can write

$$(3.1) \quad \log L_n = \log P_n + \sum_{p < 2n} (\beta_p - \alpha_p) \log p.$$

Define $\mathcal{Q} = \{p, p|2c\}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{p < 2n, p \nmid 2ac, (-ac/p) = 1\}$. Lemma 2.2 implies that if $p \notin \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$ then $\beta_p = \alpha_p = 0$. We define also the bad and the good primes as

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_{bad} = \{p \in \mathcal{P}, p^2|ai^2 + c \text{ for some } i \leq n\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_{good} = \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_{bad}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $p \in \mathcal{P}_{good}$. Then*

- i) $\alpha_p = \frac{2n}{p} + z_p$ where $z_p = 1 - \{\frac{n+x_p}{p}\} - \{\frac{n-x_p}{p}\}$, where x_p denotes the solution of $ax^2 + c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ such that $0 < x \leq (p-1)/2$
- ii) $\beta_p = 1$ for $p < 2n$.

Proof. i) Since p is a good prime we have

$$\alpha_p = \#\{l, x_p + (l-1)p \leq n\} + \#\{l, p - x_p + (l-1)p \leq n\}.$$

So $\alpha_p = [\frac{n-x_p}{p}] + 1 + [\frac{n+x_p}{p}] = \frac{2n}{p} + z_p$ where $z_p = 1 - \{\frac{n+x_p}{p}\} - \{\frac{n-x_p}{p}\}$.

ii) Since p is good we have always that $\beta_p \leq 1$. On the other hand, since $x_p \leq (p-1)/2 < n$ and $p|ax_p^2 + c$ we have that $\beta_p \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *For any $J \geq 1$ we have $\#\{p, n/J < p \leq 2n, p \in \mathcal{P}_{bad}\} \ll J^3$.*

Proof. If $p > n/J$ is bad, then there exists $i \leq n$ such that $ai^2 + c = p^2r$ for some $2 \leq r \leq aJ^2$. For each r , $2 \leq r \leq aJ^2$, consider $P_r = \{p > n/J, ai_p^2 + c = p^2r, \text{ for some } i_p \leq n\}$. If $p \in P_r$ we have that $|\frac{\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{a}} - \frac{i_p}{p}| \leq \frac{|c|}{p^2\sqrt{ra}} \leq \frac{|c|J^2}{n^2\sqrt{ra}}$ and then all i_p/p lie on an interval of length $\frac{2|c|J^2}{n^2\sqrt{ra}}$. On the other hand, $|\frac{i_p}{p} - \frac{i'_p}{p'}| \geq \frac{1}{pp'}$ so $|P_r| \leq \frac{8|c|J^2}{\sqrt{ra}} + 1$ and $\sum_{r \leq J^2} |P_r| \ll J^3$. \square

We fix a large integer J and use the lemmas above to write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p < 2n} \beta_p \log p &= \sum_{\substack{p < 2n \\ p|2c}} \beta_p \log p + \sum_{\substack{p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \log p + \sum_{\substack{p \leq n/J \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} (\beta_p - 1) \log p + \sum_{\substack{n/J < p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} (\beta_p - 1) \log p = \\ &O(\log n) + \sum_{\substack{p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \log p + \sum_{\substack{p \leq n/J \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} O(\log n) + \sum_{\substack{n/J < p < 2n \\ p \text{ bad}}} O(\log n). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.11) and lemma 3.2 we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{p < 2n} \beta_p \log p = n + o(n) + O\left(\frac{n}{J \log(n/J)} \log n\right) + O(J^3 \log n),$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we write

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{p < 2n} \alpha_p \log p = \sum_{p|2c} \alpha_p \log p + \sum_{\substack{p < n/J \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \left(2n \frac{\log p}{p-1} + O(\log n)\right) +$$

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \left(\frac{2n}{p} + z_p\right) \log p + O\left(\sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \text{ bad}}} \frac{n}{p} \log p\right) =$$

$$(3.6) \quad nC(a, c) + O(\log n) + 2n \sum_{\substack{p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + O\left(\frac{n}{J \log(n/J)} \log n\right)$$

$$(3.7) \quad -2n \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} + \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p \log p + O(J^4 \log n),$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad C(a, c) = \sum_{p|2c} \log p \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{s(f; p^k)}{p^k}.$$

We use (2.12) and the estimates

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{\log p}{p(p-1)} = O\left(\frac{\log(n/J)}{n/J}\right),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p \log p = \log n \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p + O\left(\log J \frac{n}{\log n}\right)$$

to obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_{p < 2n} \alpha_p \log p = n \log n + (C(a, c) + \log 2 + 2A_D)n +$$

$$(3.12) \quad \log n \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p + o(n) + O(n/J)$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that most of the error terms have been included in $o(n)$.

To estimate $\sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p$ we first split the primes $n/J < p < 2n$ in short intervals $[n/J, nH/J]$ and $I_j = (\frac{j-1}{J}n, \frac{j}{J}n]$, $H < j < 2J$ to write

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p = \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p \leq nH/J \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p + \sum_{H < j \leq 2J} \sum_{\substack{p \in I_j \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p =$$

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_{H < j \leq 2J} \sum_{\substack{p \in I_j \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p + O\left(\frac{nH}{J \log(nH/J)}\right)$$

where H is an integer which will be chosen later.

For $p \in I_j$, $j > H$ we can write $\frac{n}{p} = t_j - \epsilon_j(p)$ where $t_j = \frac{J}{j-1}$ and $\epsilon_j(p) = \frac{pJ - (j-1)n}{p(j-1)}$. Notice that $0 \leq \epsilon_j(p) \leq \frac{J}{(j-1)^2} \leq \frac{J}{H^2}$. Then we have

$$z_p = 1 - \{t_j + x_p/p + \epsilon_j(p)\} - \{t_j - x_p/p + \epsilon_j(p)\}.$$

We denote by E_j the set of the primes $p \in I_j$ such that

$$(3.15) \quad \{t_j\} \leq x_p/p \leq \{t_j\} + \frac{J}{H^2} \quad \text{or} \quad 1 - \{t_j\} \leq x_p/p \leq 1 - \{t_j\} + \frac{J}{H^2}.$$

If $p \in I_j \setminus E_j$ we have that $z_p = 1 - \{t_j + x_p/p\} - \{t_j - x_p/p\} - 2\epsilon_j(p)$, so

$$(3.16) \quad z_p = 1 - \{t_j + x_p/p\} - \{t_j - x_p/p\} + O(J/H^2)$$

for these primes. For primes $p \in E_j$ it is useful to write

$$(3.17) \quad z_p = 1 - \{t_j + x_p/p\} - \{t_j - x_p/p\} + O(1).$$

Theorem 1.3 implies that the sequences $\{t_j + x_p/p\}$ and $\{t_j - x_p/p\}$ are well distributed on \mathcal{M}_j^+ and \mathcal{M}_j^- respectively, where $\mathcal{M}_j^\pm = t_j \pm [0, 1/2) \pmod{1}$. Observe also that $\mathcal{M}_j^+ \cup \mathcal{M}_j^- = [0, 1)$. Then we have that

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \{t_j + x_p/p\} = 2 \int_{\mathcal{M}_j^+} s ds \pi(\mathcal{P}; y) + o(\pi(\mathcal{P}; y)),$$

where $\pi(\mathcal{P}; y) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} 1$. For the same reason we have that

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} \{t_j - x_p/p\} = 2 \int_{\mathcal{M}_j^-} s ds \pi(\mathcal{P}; y) + o(\pi(\mathcal{P}; y))$$

and then

$$(3.20) \quad \sum_{p \leq y, p \in \mathcal{P}} (1 - \{t_j + x_p/p\} - \{t_j - x_p/p\}) =$$

$$(3.21) \quad \left(1 - 2 \int_{[0,1)} s ds\right) \pi(\mathcal{P}; y) + o(\pi(\mathcal{P}; y)) = o(y/\log y).$$

In particular we have that

$$(3.22) \quad \sum_{p \in I_j \cap \mathcal{P}} (1 - \{t_j + x_p/p\} - \{t_j - x_p/p\}) = o(n/\log n).$$

So, if $j \leq H$, by (3.16), (3.17) and (3.22) we obtain

$$(3.23) \quad \sum_{p \in I_j \cap \mathcal{P}} z_p = o(n/\log n) + O\left(\frac{J}{H^2} \sum_{p \in I_j} 1\right) + O(|E_j|)$$

and then,

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{H \leq j \leq 2J} \sum_{p \in I_j \cap \mathcal{P}} z_p = o(Jn/\log n) + O\left(\frac{J}{H^2} \frac{n}{\log n}\right) + O\left(\sum_{H \leq j \leq 2J} |E_j|\right).$$

Since x_p/p is well distributed we have that

$$|E_j| = \frac{2J}{H^2} (\pi(\mathcal{P}, nj/J) - \pi(\mathcal{P}, n(j-1)/J)) + o(\pi(\mathcal{P}, nj/J)).$$

Hence

$$(3.25) \quad \sum_{H < j \leq 2J} |E_j| = \frac{2J}{H^2} (\pi(\mathcal{P}; 2n) - \pi(\mathcal{P}; nH/J)) + o(Jn/\log n).$$

If we take $H = [J^{2/3}]$, formulas (3.25), (3.24), (3.13) and (3.14) give

$$(3.26) \quad \sum_{\substack{n/J \leq p < 2n \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} z_p = O\left(\frac{n}{J^{1/3} \log n}\right) + o(n/\log n).$$

This and (3.11) yield

$$(3.27) \quad \sum_{p < 2n} \alpha_p \log p = n \log n + (C(a, c) + \log 2 + 2A_D)n + O(n/J^{1/3}) + o(n).$$

Putting (3.27), (3.3), (2.1) and (2.2), we have finally

$$\log L_n = n \log n + B(a, c)n + o(n) + O(n/J^{1/3})$$

where

$$B(a, c) = \log a - 1 - \log 2 - \sum_{p|2c} \log p \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{s(ax^2 + c; p^k)}{p^k} - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{2 \log p}{p-1} - \log t \right)$$

and we finish the proof of theorem 1.2 observing that we can choose J arbitrary large.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Farhi, *Non trivial lower bounds for the least common multiple of some finite sequences of integers*. Journal of Number theory (2007),doi:10.1016/j.jnt.2006.10.017
- [2] P. Bateman, *A limit involving Least Common Multiples: 10797*, American Mathematical Monthly **109** (2002), no. 4, 393-394.
- [3] W. Duke, J. Friedlander and H.Iwaniec, *Equidistribution of roots of a quadratic congruence to prime moduli*, Ann. of Math. **141** (1995), no. 2, 423–441.
- [4] T. Arpad, *Root of quadratic congruences*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **14** (2000), 719–739.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: franciscojavier.cilleruelo@uam.es