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## 1 Introduction

The study of sequences containing infinitely many squares is a common topic in number theory. It has been conjectured [1], and checked for $n \leq 10^{3200}$, that

$$
P_{n}=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(k^{2}+1\right)
$$

is not a square for $n>3$. We prove this conjecture in full.
As an easy consequence we deduce that the sequence $x_{n}:=\tan \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tan ^{-1}(k)$ doesn't vanish for $n>3$, which is the main result of [1]. Indeed, as $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tan ^{-1}(k)$ is the argument of the Gaussian integer $\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1+k i)=r+s i$, we have that if $x_{n}=0$ then $s=0$, so $\Pi_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+k^{2}\right)=r^{2}$, which is impossible for $n>3$.

There exists a wide literature about the greatest prime factor, say $Q_{n}$, of the product $P_{n}$. We observe that the early estimates $Q_{n} / n \rightarrow \infty([3])$ or $Q_{n} \gg$ $n \log n$ ([4]) easily imply that $P_{n}$ is not a square for $n$ large enough after the first remark in the proof of Theorem 1.

It should be noted, however, that our proof is completely elementary. Actually, the most sophisticated tool used in the proof is the Chebyshev's upper bound inequality for prime numbers. In particular we avoid the use of the asymptotic $\sum_{p \neq 1}(\bmod 4) \frac{\log p}{p} \sim \frac{1}{2} \log n$ used in the above mentioned estimates of $Q_{n}$.

## 2 The result

Theorem 1 If $n>3$, then $P_{n}=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(k^{2}+1\right)$ is not a square.

Proof. Through the proof, $p$ denotes a rational prime. If $P_{n}$ were a square and $p \mid P_{n}$ then $p^{2} \mid P_{n}$. There are two possibilities: If $p^{2} \mid k^{2}+1$ for some $k \leq n$ then $p \leq \sqrt{n^{2}+1}<2 n$. Otherwise, there exist $j, k, j<k \leq n$ such that $p \mid j^{2}+1$ and $p \mid k^{2}+1$ and then $p \mid(k-j)(k+j)$ which also implies that $p<2 n$. Then, if $P_{n}$ is a square we can write

$$
P_{n}=\prod_{p<2 n} p^{\alpha_{p}} .
$$

Since $P_{n}>n!^{2}$, if we write $n!=\Pi_{p \leq n} p^{\beta_{p}}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p \leq n} \beta_{p} \log p<\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p<2 n} \alpha_{p} \log p . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $\alpha_{2}=\lceil n / 2\rceil$ since $k^{2}+1 \equiv 1$ or $2(\bmod 4)$ depending whether $k$ is odd or even. Also it is well known that if an odd prime $p$ divides $k^{2}+1$ then $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. In this case, since each interval of length $p^{j}$ contains two solutions of $x^{2}+1 \equiv\left(\bmod p^{j}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p}=\sum_{j \leq \log \left(n^{2}+1\right) / \log p} \#\left\{k \leq n, p^{j} \mid k^{2}+1\right\} \leq \sum_{j \leq \log \left(n^{2}+1\right) / \log p} 2\left\lceil n / p^{j}\right\rceil . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{p}=\sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p} \#\left\{k \leq n, p^{j} \mid k\right\}=\sum_{j \leq \log n / \log p}\left\lfloor n / p^{j}\right\rfloor . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{p} / 2-\beta_{p} & \leq \sum_{j \leq \frac{\log n}{\log p}}\left(\left\lceil n / p^{j}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor n / p^{j}\right\rfloor\right)+\sum_{\frac{\log n}{\log p}<j \leq \frac{\log \left(n^{2}+1\right)}{\log p}}^{\log }\left\lceil n / p^{j}\right\rceil \\
& \leq \sum_{j \leq \frac{\log n}{\log p}}^{\log } 1+\sum_{\frac{\log n}{} \log p \leq j \leq \frac{\log \left(n^{2}+1\right)}{\log p}}^{\log } 1 \leq \frac{\log \left(n^{2}+1\right)}{\log p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use this in (1) to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \neq 1(4)}} \beta_{p} \log p \leq \frac{1}{2}\lceil n / 2\rceil \log 2+\log \left(n^{2}+1\right) \pi(n ; 1,4)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n<p<2 n} \alpha_{p} \log p \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates $\alpha_{p} \leq 2$ if $p>n$ and

$$
\beta_{p} \geq \frac{n}{p-1}-\frac{p}{p-1}-\frac{\log n}{\log p} \geq \frac{n-1}{p-1}-\frac{\log \left(n^{2}+1\right)}{\log p} \quad \text { if } \quad p \leq n
$$

can be obtained easily from (2) and (3). Next we put these estimates in (4) to get

$$
(n-1) \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \neq 1 \\(4)}} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \leq(n+1) \frac{\log 2}{4}+\log \left(n^{2}+1\right) \pi(n)+\sum_{n<p<2 n} \log p .
$$

Now we use the Chebyshev inequalities $\sum_{p \leq n} \log p \leq \log 4 n$ and $\sum_{n<p<2 n} \log p \leq$ $n \log 4$ and $\pi(n) \leq 2 \log 4 \frac{n}{\log n}+\sqrt{n}$ (see for example [2]) to obtain

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \neq 1 \\ p(4)}} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \leq \frac{n+1}{n-1}\left(\frac{\log 2}{4}+\log 4\right)+\frac{\log \left(n^{2}+1\right)}{n-1}\left(2 \log 4 \frac{n}{\log n}+\sqrt{n}\right) .
$$

The limit of the right hand side is $\frac{41}{4} \log 2$. Actually, that quantity is $<7.14$ for $n \geq 702007$. Adding over enough primes $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ we can see that for $n \geq 702007$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq \\ p \neq 1 \\ p \neq 1}} \frac{\log p}{p-1}>7.14, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves the theorem for $n \geq 702007$.
Finally we have to check that $P_{n}$ is not a square for $4 \leq n<702007$.
$4^{2}+1=17$. The next time that the prime 17 divides $k^{2}+1$ is for $k=17-4=13$. Hence $P_{n}$ is not a square for $4 \leq n \leq 12$.
$10^{2}+1=101$. The next time that the prime 101 divides $k^{2}+1$ is for $k=$ $101-10=91$. Hence $P_{n}$ is not a square for $10 \leq n \leq 90$.
$36^{2}+1=1297$. The next time that the prime 1297 divides $k^{2}+1$ is for $k=1297-36=1261$. Hence $P_{n}$ is not a square for $36 \leq n \leq 1260$.
$860^{2}+1=739601$. The next time that the prime 739601 divides $k^{2}+1$ is for $k=739601-860=738741$. Hence $P_{n}$ is not a square for $860 \leq n \leq 738740$.
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