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Abstract. We investigate sequences of real numbers and $p$-adic numbers with the property that sums of pairs are always far apart.

## 1. Introduction

A set $A$ (typically of integers) is called a Sidon set, if for every $s$ the equation $x+y=s$ has at most one solution (up to ordering) with $x, y \in A$. It is a famous problem to decide how fast a Sidon sequence of integers must grow.

We investigate an analogous question for sequences of real numbers and $p$-adic integers. Here the requirement that sums of pairs are different is almost vacuous; we shall replace it by measuring how far they are apart.

Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of real numbers modulo one and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}=\min _{j, k, u, v \leq n}\left\|a_{j}+a_{l}-a_{u}-a_{v}\right\|, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quadruples $u=j, v=k$ and $u=k, v=j$ are excluded, and $\|\ldots\|$ denotes the distance from the nearest integer. By considering the differences $a_{j}-a_{u}, 1 \leq j, u \leq n$, $j \neq u$, and 0 as the common value of $a_{j}-a_{j}$, we immediately see that

$$
\delta_{n} \leq \frac{1}{n(n-1)+1} .
$$

For a fixed $n$ this is best possible, at least for certain values of $n$. Indeed, if $n=q-1$ with a prime-power $q$, then by taking a perfect difference set modulo $m=q^{2}+q+1=$ $n(n-1)+1$ and dividing the elements by $m$ we obtain examples of equality.

We get a slightly different question (analogous to finite Sidon sets of integers in an interval) if we assume that the $a_{j}$ are real numbers in $[0,1]$ and we consider the usual distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n}^{*}=\min _{j, k, u, v \leq n}\left|a_{j}+a_{l}-a_{u}-a_{v}\right|, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies $\delta_{n} \leq \delta_{n}^{*}$. It is less obvious to estimate $\delta_{n}^{*}$ than $\delta_{n}$.
Theorem 1.

$$
\delta_{n}^{*} \leq \frac{1}{n(n-2 \sqrt{n})}
$$

Problem 1. Is

$$
\delta_{n}^{*} \leq \frac{1}{n(n-o(\sqrt{n}))}
$$

true?

[^0]A positive answer (or even any improvement of the coefficient 2 of $\sqrt{n}$ in the theorem) would improve the best known upper bound $|A| \leq N^{1 / 2}+N^{1 / 4}+1$ for classical Sidon sets.

Problem 2. Let $a_{n}$ be an infinite sequence of reals modulo one. Does

$$
\liminf n^{2} \delta_{n}=0
$$

necessarily hold?
A positive answer would be analogous to the classical theorem of Erdős asserting that an infinite Sidon sequence $a_{n}$ of integers satisfies

$$
\limsup a_{n} / n^{2}=\infty
$$

One can ask the same question for $\delta_{n}^{*}$. The two problems are equivalent, since given a sequence $a_{n}$ which has large $\delta_{n}^{*}$ one can take the sequence $a_{n} / 2$ to show a sequence with $\delta_{n} \geq \delta_{n}^{*} / 2$. However, if the answer is negative, then there is no obvious connection between the extremal values.

We exhibit an infinite sequence of real numbers for which the order of magnitude of $\delta_{n}$ is only slightly smaller.
Theorem 2. There is an infinite sequence $a_{n}$ of real numbers modulo one such that the quantity $\delta_{n}$ defined by (??) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n} \geq \frac{c}{(n \log n)^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some positive absolute constant c.
Problem 3. Can the sequence $a_{n}$ in Theorem 2 be chosen to be a sequence of rational numbers?

Let now $a_{j}$ be a sequence of $p$-adic integers for some prime $p$, and define $\delta_{n}$ by the same formula (??), with the modification that $\|\ldots\|$ now means the $p$-adic norm, that is, $\|x\|=p^{-k}$ if $p^{k} \mid x$ but $p^{k+1} \nmid x$. If we define $k$ by $p^{k} \leq n(n-1)<p^{k+1}$, then similarly we find that all the differences $a_{i}-a_{j}, i, j \leq n$ cannot be distinct modulo $p^{k}$, which yields

$$
\delta_{n} \leq p^{-k} \leq C / n^{2}
$$

with some positive constant $C$ (which depends on the prime $p$ ).
We have analogous problems and results to the real case.
Problem 4. Let $a_{n}$ be an infinite sequence of p-adic integers. Does

$$
\liminf n^{2} \delta_{n}=0
$$

necessarily hold?
Theorem 3. There is an infinite sequence $a_{n}$ of p-adic integers such that the quantity $\delta_{n}$ defined by (??) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{n} \geq \frac{c}{(n \log n)^{2}} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some positive constant $c$, which depends on the prime $p$ but not on $n$.
Problem 5. Can the sequence $a_{n}$ in Theorem 3 be chosen to be a sequence of ordinary integers?

In the construction of a dense Sidon sequence of integers by the second author [] the starting point was that the sequence $a_{n}=\log p_{n}$, where $p_{n}$ is the $n$-th prime, satisfies (??). It is unbounded and the fractional parts do not have this property, which caused some difficulty. The proof can be simplified by using any of the sequences given in Theorem 2 or Theorem 3 instead.

## 2. The finite estimate

In this section we prove Theorem 1. Any proof used to estimate finite integer Sidon sets can be adapted to this situation; perhaps the most natural is Lindström's [?].

Let the numbers be $0 \leq a_{1}<\cdots<a_{n} \leq 1$, write $\delta=\delta_{n}$ and consider the sum

$$
S=\sum_{i<j \leq n, j-i \leq k}\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right)
$$

for a positive integer $k$ to be specified later. On one hand, $S$ is the sum of

$$
N=(n-1)+(n-2)+. .+(n-k)=\frac{k(2 n-k-1)}{2}
$$

distinct differences, the smallest of which is $\geq \delta$, the second is $\geq 2 \delta$ and so on, hence

$$
S \geq \delta(1+2+\cdots+N)>\delta N^{2} / 2
$$

On the other hand after the cancellations this sum becomes

$$
S=\left(a_{n}-a_{1}\right)+2\left(a_{n-1}-a_{2}\right)+\cdots+k\left(a_{n-k+1}-a_{k}\right) \leq \frac{k(k+1)}{2} .
$$

A comparison of these inequalities yields

$$
\delta<\frac{k(k+1)}{N^{2}}=\frac{4(k+1)}{k(2 n-k-1)^{2}} .
$$

The theorem follows by putting $k=[\sqrt{n}]$.

## 3. The real construction

In this section we prove Theorem 2.
We will contstruct a sequence of complex numbers of modulus 1 such that the pairwise products are far apart, and this will then give a sequence of reals in a natural way.

Let $q_{1}=5<q_{2}<\ldots$ be the sequence of primes $\equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and write each in the form $q_{j}=\rho_{j} \bar{\rho}_{j}$ with a Gaussian prime $\rho_{j}$. Now consider the numbers $\alpha_{j}=\bar{\rho}_{j} / \rho_{j}$. We estimate the difference of products. We have

$$
\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}-\alpha_{u} \alpha_{v}=\frac{\bar{\rho}_{j} \bar{\rho}_{k} \rho_{u} \rho_{v}-\bar{\rho}_{u} \bar{\rho}_{v} \rho_{j} \rho_{k}}{\rho_{j} \rho_{k} \rho_{u} \rho_{v}}
$$

The enumerator is a Gaussian integer, not zero by the unique factorization, hence its modulus is $\geq 1$. We obtain

$$
\left|\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}-\alpha_{u} \alpha_{v}\right| \geq \frac{1}{\left|\rho_{j} \rho_{k} \rho_{u} \rho_{v}\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{j} p_{k} p_{u} p_{v}}} \geq \frac{c}{(n \log n)^{2}}
$$

withe a positive constant $c$ if $j, k, u, v \leq n$.
Now define $a_{j}$ by $\alpha_{j}=e^{2 \pi i a_{j}}$. We have

$$
\left|\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}-\alpha_{u} \alpha_{v}\right|=\left|1-\alpha_{u} \alpha_{v} /\left(\alpha_{j} \alpha_{k}\right)\right|=\left|1-e^{2 \pi i\left(a_{u}+a_{v}-a_{j}-a_{k}\right)}\right| \leq 2 \pi\left|a_{j}+a_{k}-a_{u}-a_{v}\right| .
$$

On substituting this into the previous inequality we obtain the claim of the theorem.

## 4. The p-Adic construction

We prove Theorem 3.
Put $P=p$ if $p$ is odd, and $P=4$ if $p=2$. Let $q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots$ be the sequence of primes $\equiv 1(\bmod P)$. We will use the $p$-adic logarithm, defined by the usual power series

$$
\log (1+t)=t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{3}-\ldots
$$

This series is convergent when $\|t\|<1$, it has the usual additivity property and it satisfies

$$
\|\log (1+t)-t\|<\|t\|
$$

and consequently

$$
\|\log (1+t)\|=\|t\|
$$

whenever $\|t\| \leq 1 / P$.
We put now $a_{j}=\log q_{j}$. We have

$$
a_{j}+a_{k}-a_{u}-a_{v}=\log \frac{q_{j} q_{k}}{q_{u} q_{v}}=\log (1+t)
$$

where

$$
t=\frac{q_{j} q_{k}-q_{u} q_{v}}{q_{u} q_{v}} .
$$

The denominator clearly has norm 1 . The enumerator is nonzero, it is a multiple of $P$ by the assumption $q_{j} \equiv 1(\bmod P)$, and it is an integer of absolute value $<C(n \log n)^{2}$ if $j, k, u, v \leq n$, hence

$$
\frac{c}{(n \log n)^{2}} \leq\|t\| \leq \frac{1}{P} .
$$

Consequently

$$
\left\|a_{j}+a_{k}-a_{u}-a_{v}\right\|=\|t\| \geq \frac{c}{(n \log n)^{2}}
$$

as claimed.

## 5. Concluding remarks

A positive answer to problem 2 and the construction in Theorem 2 would give an indirect proof that the primes $\equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ have density zero. An answer to Promlem 4 would have similar consequences.
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