# ON A QUESTION OF SÁRKÖZY ON GAPS OF PRODUCT SEQUENCES

### JAVIER CILLERUELO AND THÁI HOÀNG LÊ

ABSTRACT. Motivated by a question of Sárközy, we study the gaps in the product sequence  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A} = \{b_1 < b_2 < \cdots\}$  of all products  $a_i a_j$  with  $a_i, a_j \in \mathcal{A}$  when  $\mathcal{A}$  has upper Banach density  $\alpha > 0$ . We prove that there are infinitely many gaps  $b_{n+1} - b_n \ll \alpha^{-3}$  and that for  $t \geq 2$  there are infinitely many t-gaps  $b_{n+t} - b_n \ll t^2 \alpha^{-4}$ . Furthermore we prove that these estimates are best possible.

We also discuss a related question about the cardinality of the quotient set  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} = \{a_i/a_j, a_i, a_j \in \mathcal{A}\}$  when  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$  and  $|\mathcal{A}| = \alpha N$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1 < a_2 < \ldots\}$  be an infinite sequence of positive integers. The lower and upper asymptotic densities of  $\mathcal{A}$  are defined by

$$\underline{d}(\mathcal{A}) = \liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap \{1, \dots, N\}|}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{d}(\mathcal{A}) = \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap \{1, \dots, N\}|}{N}$$

The lower and upper Banach density of  $\mathcal{A}$  are defined by

$$d_*(\mathcal{A}) = \liminf_{|I| \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|}{|I|}$$
 and  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) = \limsup_{|I| \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|}{|I|}$ 

where I runs through all intervals. Clearly  $d_*(\mathcal{A}) \leq \underline{d}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \overline{d}(\mathcal{A}) \leq d^*(\mathcal{A})$ .

Sárközy considered the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A} = \{b_1 < b_2 < \ldots\}$$

of all products  $a_i a_j$  with  $a_i, a_j \in \mathcal{A}$  and asked the following question, stated as problem 22 in [4].

**Question 1.** Is it true that for all  $\alpha > 0$  there is a number  $c = c(\alpha) > 0$  such that if  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$  is an infinite sequence with  $\underline{d}(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ , then  $b_{n+1} - b_n \leq c$  holds for infinitely many n?

This work was developed during the Doccourse in Additive Combinatorics held in the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica from January to March 2008. Both authors are extremely grateful for its hospitality. We would like also to thank Terence Tao for reading a preliminary version of this paper and giving helpful comments.

This question is not trivial, since for any  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\underline{d}(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha > 0$  but  $\overline{d}(\mathcal{B}) < \epsilon$ , thus the gaps of  $\mathcal{B}$  are greater than  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$  on average. See the construction in [1].

Bérczi [1] answered Sárközy's question in the affirmative by proving that we can take  $c(\alpha) \ll \alpha^{-4}$ . Sándor [3] improved it to  $c(\alpha) \ll \alpha^{-3}$  even assuming the weaker hypothesis  $\overline{d}(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ .

In this work we consider Sárközy's question for the upper Banach density, that is to find a constant  $c^*(\alpha)$  such that  $b_{n+1} - b_n \leq c^*(\alpha)$  infinitely often whenever  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ . In this setting we can find the best possible value for  $c^*(\alpha)$  up to a multiplicative constant.

**Theorem 1.** For every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and every sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ , we have  $b_{n+1} - b_n \ll \alpha^{-3}$  infinitely often.

**Theorem 2.** For every  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ and such that  $b_{n+1} - b_n \gg \alpha^{-3}$  for every n.

We observe that, since  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) \geq \overline{d}(\mathcal{A})$ , Theorem 1 is stronger than Sándor's result.

We also extend this question and study the difference  $b_{n+t} - b_n$  for a fixed t, namely to find a constant  $c^*(\alpha, t)$  such that  $b_{n+t} - b_n \leq c^*(\alpha, t)$  infinitely often. Theorems 1 and 2 above correspond to the case t = 1. For greater t the answer is perhaps surprising, in that the exponent of  $\alpha$  involved in  $c^*(\alpha, t)$  is -4, not -3like in the case t = 1.

**Theorem 3.** For every  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , every  $t \ge 2$  and every sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ , we have  $b_{n+t} - b_n \ll t^2 \alpha^{-4}$  infinitely often.

**Theorem 4.** For every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and every  $t \ge 2$ , there is a sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$  and  $b_{n+t} - b_n \gg t^2 \alpha^{-4}$  for every n.

The method of proof for Theorems 1 and 3 is related to the Erdős-Turán method in Sidon sets theory. Sidon sets are also the main tool in the constructions involved in Theorems 2 and 4.

**Notation.** We will denote by  $\lceil x \rceil$  the smallest integer greater or equal to x,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  the greatest integer small than or equal to x. For quantities A, B we write  $A \ll B$ , or  $B \gg A$  if there is an absolute constant c > 0 such that  $A \leq cB$ .

#### 2. Proof of the results

In our proofs of Theorems 1, 3 we will use the following simple observation:

**Lemma 1.** Let K be a positive integer,  $\alpha$  a real number with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then, if  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ , there exist infinitely many pairwise disjoint intervals I of length K such that  $|\mathcal{A} \cap I| \ge \alpha |I|$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for a contradiction, there exists at most a finite number of intervals I of length K with  $|\mathcal{A} \cap I| \ge \alpha K$ . Thus, there exists N such that if  $I \cap [1, N] = \emptyset$  and |I| = K then  $|\mathcal{A} \cap I| < \alpha |I|$ .

Any interval J can be written as an union of disjoint consecutive intervals

$$J = J_0 \cup J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_r \cup J_{r+1},$$

where  $J_0 = J \cap [1, N]$ ,  $|J_i| = K$ , i = 1, ..., r and  $|J_{r+1}| \le K$ .

We observe that

$$\frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap J|}{|J|} = \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap J_0| + |\mathcal{A} \cap J_1| + \dots + |\mathcal{A} \cap J_r| + |\mathcal{A} \cap J_{r+1}|}{|J|}$$
$$< \frac{N}{|J|} + \frac{\alpha(|J_1| + \dots + |J_r|)}{|J|} + \frac{K}{|J|} < \frac{N+K}{|J|} + \alpha.$$

Since  $\lim_{|J|\to\infty} \frac{N+K}{|J|} = 0$  we obtain that  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) = \limsup_{|J|\to\infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A}\cap J|}{|J|} \leq \alpha$ , a contradiction.

Finally, it is clear that if there exist infinitely many intervals I of length K with  $|\mathcal{A} \cap I| \geq \alpha |I|$ , there exist infinitely many of them which are pairwise disjoint.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 1. Let  $L = \lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil$ . Since  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ , the above lemma with  $K = L^2$  implies that there are infinitely many disjoint intervals I of length  $L^2$  such that  $|I \cap \mathcal{A}| \ge \alpha L^2$ .

We divide each interval I into L subintervals of equal length L. For  $i = 1, \ldots, L$ , let  $A_i$  be the number of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  in the *i*-th interval. We count the number of differences a - a' where 0 < a' < a are in the same interval. On the one hand, it is

$$\begin{split} \sum_{1 \le i \le L} \begin{pmatrix} A_i \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le L} (A_i^2 - A_i) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{L} \left( \sum_{1 \le i \le L} A_i \right)^2 - \sum_{1 \le i \le L} A_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|^2}{L} - |\mathcal{A} \cap I| \right) = \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|}{2} \left( \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|}{L} - 1 \right) \\ &\ge \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|}{2} \left( \alpha L - 1 \right) = \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|}{2} \left( \alpha \lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil - 1 \right) \\ &\ge \frac{|\mathcal{A} \cap I|}{2} \ge \frac{\alpha L^2}{2} \ge L. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, the number of their possible values is at most L - 1. Thus we can find 2 couples (a, a'), (a'', a''') such that 0 < a - a' = a'' - a''' < L. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < |aa''' - a'a''| &= |a(a'' + a' - a) - a'a''| \\ &= |(a - a')(a'' - a)| \\ &\leq (L - 1)(L^2 - 1) = (L - 1)^2(L + 1) \\ &= (\lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil - 1)^2(\lceil 2\alpha^{-1} \rceil + 1) \\ &\leq 4\alpha^{-2}(2\alpha^{-1} + 2) \\ &< 4\alpha^{-2}(2\alpha^{-1} + 2\alpha^{-1}) = 16\alpha^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, each interval I provides two distinct elements of  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}$ , say b < b', with  $b' - b < 16\alpha^{-3}$ . Since there are infinitely many such intervals and they are pairwise disjoint, we conclude that  $b_{n+1} - b_n < 16\alpha^{-3}$  infinitely often.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let  $L = \lceil 4t\alpha^{-2} \rceil$ . Again, since  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ , we can apply Lemma 1 with K = L to deduce that there exist infinitely many intervals I of length L which contain at least  $\alpha L$  elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

For each interval I, the number of sums a + a',  $a \leq a'$ ,  $a, a' \in I \cap \mathcal{A}$  is greater than  $(\alpha L)^2/2$  and they are all contained in an interval of length 2L.

Since  $\frac{(\alpha L)^2}{2} = 2L\left(\frac{\alpha^2 L}{4}\right) = 2L\left(\frac{\alpha^2 \lceil 4t\alpha^{-2} \rceil}{4}\right) \ge 2Lt$ , the pigeonhole principle implies that some sum *s* must be obtained in at least t + 1 different ways,

$$s = a_1 + a'_1 = \dots = a_{t+1} + a'_{t+1}, \quad a_i, a'_i \in I \cap \mathcal{A}, \quad a_j \neq a_i, a'_i \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

If  $i \neq j$ , since  $a_j + a'_j = a_i + a'_i$ , we have

$$0 < |a_i a'_i - a_j a'_j| = |a_i a'_i - a_j (a_i + a'_i - a_j)| = |(a_i - a_j)(a'_i - a_j)| < L^2,$$

so the t + 1 products  $a_i a'_i$  lie in an interval of length

$$L^{2} < (4t\alpha^{-2} + 1)^{2} \le (5t\alpha^{-2})^{2} \le 25t^{2}\alpha^{-4}.$$

As in the proof of theorem 1, each interval I provides t + 1 distinct elements of  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}$ , say  $b_{i_0} < \cdots < b_{i_t}$ , such that  $b_{i_t} - b_{i_0} < 25t^2\alpha^{-4}$ . Since there are infinitely many such intervals and they are pairwise disjoint, we can conclude that  $b_{n+t} - b_n < 25t^2\alpha^{-4}$  infinitely many times.

In the proofs of Theorems 2 and 4, we will take  $\mathcal{A}$  to be a union of blocks sufficiently far apart from one another, so that small differences  $b_{i+1} - b_i$  (or  $b_{i+t} - b_i$ ) can only arise when the  $b_i$  in question are made up from elements in the same block. To make this precise let us make the following: **Definition 1.** Given a positive value  $x_1$  and an infinite sequence of finite sets of nonnegative integers  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \ldots$ , we define a sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  associated to these inputs by

(1) 
$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n + \mathcal{A}_n),$$

where the sequence  $(x_n)$  is defined for  $n \ge 2$  by

(2) 
$$x_n = x_1 + M_n^2 + M_n(x_{n-1} + M_{n-1}) + (x_{n-1} + M_{n-1})^2$$

and  $M_n$  is the largest element of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ .

Clearly all the sets  $x_n + A_n$  in (1) are disjoint. Let us now verify that small gaps in  $\mathcal{B}$  can only come from products of elements in the same block  $x_n + A_n$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be defined as in (1). Then, all the nonzero differences  $d = c_1c_2 - c_3c_4$ , with  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathcal{A}$  but not all  $c_i$  in the same  $x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$ , satisfy  $|d| \ge x_1$ .

Proof. Let n be the largest integer such that  $c_i \in x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$  for some i = 1, 2, 3, 4. We can assume that  $c_1 \in \mathcal{A}_n$ . Then there are many possibilities for  $c_2, c_3, c_4$ . It is a routine to check that the inequality  $|d| \ge x_1$  holds in all these cases. We will use repeatedly the definition of  $x_n$  in (2) and the fact that if  $c \in x_m + \mathcal{A}_m$  then  $x_m \le c \le x_m + M_m$ .

i)  $c_2 \in x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $c_3$  or  $c_4 \notin x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$ . In this case  $|d| \geq x_n^2 - |c_3c_4|$   $\geq x_n^2 - (x_n + M_n)(x_{n-1} + M_{n-1})$   $= x_n(x_n - x_{n-1} - M_{n-1}) - M_n(x_{n-1} + M_{n-1})$  $\geq x_n - M_n(x_{n-1} + M_{n-1}) \geq x_1.$ 

ii)  $c_2, c_3, c_4 \notin x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$ . In this case

$$|d| \ge x_n - c_3 c_4 \ge x_n - (x_{n-1} + M_{n-1})^2 \ge x_1.$$

iii)  $c_3 \in x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$  and  $c_2, c_4 \notin x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$ . In this case we write  $c_1 = x_n + a_1$  and  $c_3 = x_n + a_3$ . Then

$$|d| = |x_n(c_2 - c_4) + a_1c_2 - a_3c_4|.$$

If  $c_2 = c_4$ , then  $|d| = c_2|a_1 - a_3| \ge x_1$ . If  $c_2 \ne c_4$ , then

$$|d| \ge x_n - |a_1c_2 - a_3c_4| \ge x_n - M_n(x_{n-1} + M_{n-1}) \ge x_1,$$

since  $|a_1c_2 - a_3c_4| \le \max\{a_1c_2, a_3c_4\} \le M_n(x_{n-1} + M_{n-1}).$ 

In order to prove Theorems 2 and 4, we also need the following construction of Sidon sets due to Erdős and Turán [2]:

**Lemma 3.** Let p be an odd prime number. Let

$$S_p = \{s_i = 2pi + (i^2)_p : i = 0, \dots, p-1\},\$$

where  $(x)_p \in [0, p-1]$  is the residue of x modulo p. Then  $S_p$  is a Sidon set in  $[0, 2p^2)$  with p elements and  $|s_i - s_j| \ge p$  for every  $i \ne j$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$|s_i - s_j| \ge 2p|i - j| - |(i^2)_p - (j^2)_p| \ge p$$

Suppose we have  $s_i + s_j = s_k + s_l$  for some i, j, k, l. Then

$$2p(i+j-k-l) = (i^2)_p + (j^2)_p - (k^2)_p - (l^2)_p$$

The left hand side is a multiple of 2p while the absolute value of the right hand side is strictly smaller than 2p. Thus

$$i - k = l - j$$

and

$$(i^2)_p - (k^2)_p = (l^2)_p - (j^2)_p,$$

i.e.,

$$i^2 - k^2 \equiv l^2 - j^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus

$$(i-k)(i+k) = (i-k)(l+j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Either i = k and j = l, or  $i + k \equiv l + j \pmod{p}$ , in which case k = l and i = j.

Proof of Theorem 2. We can assume that  $\alpha < 1/16$ . Otherwise it is clear that all the gaps in  $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}$  are  $\geq 1 \gg \alpha^{-3}$ .

Let p be an odd prime such that  $\frac{1}{8\alpha} , <math>S_p$  the Sidon set defined in Lemma 3 and  $m = 2p^2$ . We consider the sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in (1) with  $x_1 = 4p^3$  and

(3) 
$$\mathcal{A}_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (2km + \mathcal{S}_p)$$

First we observe that  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is contained in the interval  $I_n = [2m, 2mn + m)$  and then

$$d^*(\mathcal{A}) \ge \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{A}_n|}{|I_n|} = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|np|}{|(2m-1)n|} > \frac{1}{4p} \ge \alpha.$$

Next we will prove that all the nonzero differences  $d = c_1c_2 - c_3c_4$  with  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfy  $|d| \ge 4p^3$ , and clearly  $|d| \ge 2^{-7}\alpha^{-3}$ .

By Lemma 2 this is true when not all  $c_i$  belong to the same  $x_n + A_n$ . Suppose then that  $c_i = x_n + a_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Then

$$d = (x_n + a_1)(x_n + a_2) - (x_n + a_3)(x_n + a_4)$$
  
=  $x_n(a_1 + a_2 - a_3 - a_4) + a_1a_2 - a_3a_4.$ 

• If  $a_1 + a_2 \neq a_3 + a_4$  then

$$|d| \ge x_n - |a_1a_2 - a_3a_4| \ge x_n - M_n^2 \ge x_1 = 4p^3.$$

• If  $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4$  then

$$d| = |a_1a_2 - a_3a_4|$$
  
= |a\_1a\_2 - a\_3(a\_1 + a\_2 - a\_3)  
= |(a\_2 - a\_3)(a\_1 - a\_3)|.

Now we write  $a_i = 2k_im + s_i$ ,  $1 \le k_i \le n$ ,  $s_i \in S_p$ . The condition  $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4$  implies

$$2m(k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - k_4) = s_3 + s_4 - s_1 - s_2.$$

Since  $|s_1+s_2-s_3-s_4| < 2m$ , we have  $k_1+k_2 = k_3+k_4$  and  $s_1+s_2 = s_3+s_4$ . Now we use the fact that  $S_p$  is a Sidon set to conclude that  $\{s_1, s_2\} = \{s_3, s_4\}$ . We can assume that  $s_1 = s_3$  and  $s_2 = s_4$ , Then

$$d| = |2m(k_2 - k_3) + (s_2 - s_3)||2m(k_1 - k_3)|.$$

- If  $s_2 = s_3$ , since  $d \neq 0$  we have that

$$|d| \ge (2m)^2 \ge 16p^4 > 4p^3.$$

- If  $s_2 \neq s_3$ , by Lemma 3 we know that

$$p \le |s_2 - s_3| < m.$$
  
\* If  $k_2 \ne k_3$  then  $|d| \ge |2m - m| |2m| = 2m^2 = 8p^4 > 4p^3.$   
\* If  $k_2 = k_3$  then  $|d| \ge p(2m) = 4p^3.$ 

In any case  $|d| \ge 4p^3$ .

Proof of Theorem 4. For  $\alpha \geq 1/16$  we consider the sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in (1) with  $x_1 = t^2$  and  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . Clearly  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) = 1 > \alpha$ .

Next, let  $c_0 c'_0, \ldots, c_t c'_t$  be distinct elements in  $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}$ . We will prove that (4)  $|c_i c'_i - c_j c'_i| \ge t^2/36$ 

for some  $i, j, i \neq j$ .

In view of Lemma 2, we need only to consider the case where all the  $c_i, c'_i$  belong to the same  $x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$ . Otherwise,  $|c_i c'_i - c_j c'_j| \ge x_1 = t^2$ .

The inequality (4) is obviously true for  $2 \le t \le 6$ . Suppose  $t \ge 7$ . We write

$$d_i = c_0 c'_0 - c_i c'_i = (x_n + a_0)(x_n + a'_0) - (x_n + a_i)(x_n + a'_i)$$
  
=  $x_n(a_0 + a'_0 - a_i - a'_i) + a_0 a'_0 - a_i a'_i.$ 

If the coefficient of  $x_n$  is non zero then  $|d_i| \ge x_n - M_n^2 \ge x_1 = t^2$ .

We suppose then that  $a_0 + a'_0 - a_i - a'_i = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, t$ . This implies that  $a_i \neq a_j$  if  $i \neq j$  (since if not,  $c_i c'_i = c_j c'_j$ ). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_0c'_0 - c_ic'_i| &= |a_0a'_0 - a_ia'_i| \\ &= |a_0a'_0 - a_i(a_0 + a'_0 - a_i)| \\ &= |(a'_0 - a_i)(a_0 - a_i)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since all  $a_i$  are distinct and there are at most 2(1 + 2(t/6)) < t values of *i* for which  $|a_0 - a_i| \le t/6$  or  $|a'_0 - a_i| \le t/6$ , we obtain

$$|a_0' - a_i| |a_0 - a_i| > (t/6)^2 \ge 2^{-22} t^2 \alpha^{-4}$$

for some i.

For  $0 < \alpha < 1/16$  we take the same sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  used in the proof of Theorem 2 but with  $x_1 = t^2 p^4$ . As we saw, this sequence has density  $d^*(\mathcal{A}) \ge \alpha$ . As in that proof, we apply Lemma 2 to see that if  $c_i, c'_i, c_j, c'_j$  not in the same  $x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$  for some  $i \ne j$  then  $|c_i c'_i - c_j c'_j| \ge x_1 = t^2 p^4$  and we are done because  $t^2 p^4 \ge 2^{-12} t^2 \alpha^{-4}$ .

Therefore, if  $c_0c'_0, \ldots, c_tc'_t$  are distinct elements of  $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}$ , we can assume that all  $c_i, c'_i$  belong to the same  $x_n + \mathcal{A}_n$  and we write them as  $c_i = x_n + a_i$ ,  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_n$ . Then

$$d_i = c_0 c'_0 - c_i c'_i = x_n (a_0 + a'_0 - a_i - a'_i) + a_0 a'_0 - a_i a'_i$$

If  $a_i + a'_i \neq a_0 + a'_0$  for some  $i \neq 0$  then

$$|d_i| \ge x_n - M_n^2 \ge x_1 = t^2 p^4.$$

So we assume that  $a_i + a'_i = a_0 + a'_0$  for all i = 0, ..., t. We write  $a_i = 2mk_i + s_i$ and we can assume that  $s_i \le s'_i$  for i = 0, ..., t. The condition  $a_i + a'_i = a_0 + a'_0$ for all i = 0, ..., t implies that  $2m(k_i + k'_i - k_0 - k'_0) = s_0 + s'_0 - s_i - s'_i$  and since  $|s_0 + s'_0 - s_i - s'_i| < 2m$ , we have  $k_i + k'_i = k_0 + k'_0$  and  $s_i + s'_i = s_0 + s'_0$ .

Since  $S_p$  is a Sidon set and  $s_i \leq s'_i$  we have  $s_i = s_0$  and  $s'_i = s'_0$  for i = 0, ..., t. Then

$$c_i c'_i - c_0 c'_0 = 2m(k_i - k_0)(2m(k_i - k'_0) + s_0 - s'_0)$$

We observe that all  $k_i$  are distinct and  $k_i \neq 0$ . (Otherwise, if  $k_i = k_j$  then  $k'_i = k'_j$ and then  $c_i c'_i = c_j c'_j$ .)

Suppose  $k_i \neq k'_0$ . Then

$$|c_i c'_i - c_0 c'_0| = |2m(k_i - k_0)(2m(k_i - k'_0) + s_0 - s'_0)|$$

Since  $|s_0 - s'_0| \le m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |c_i c'_i - c_0 c'_0| &\geq 2m |k_i - k_0| |2m |k_i - k'_0| - m| \\ &\geq 2m^2 |k_i - k_0| |k_i - k'_0| \\ &\geq 8p^4 |k_i - k_0| |k_i - k'_0|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $2 \le t \le 6$  we consider  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . One of them (or both) is distinct from  $k'_0$ . For that  $k_i$  we have  $|c_0c'_0 - c_ic'_i| \ge 8p^4 \ge 2^{-9}\alpha^{-4} \ge 2^{-14}t^2\alpha^{-4}$ .

If  $t \ge 7$  we observe that there are at most 2(1 + 2(t/6)) < t values of *i* such that  $|k_0 - k_i| \le t/6$  or  $|k'_0 - k_i| \le t/6$ . So there exists some *i* such that

$$|c_0c'_0 - c_ic'_i| \ge 8p^4(t/6)^2 \ge 2^{-14}t^2\alpha^{-4}$$

## 3. A related question

We do not know if the exponent -3 in Theorem 1 can be improved when  $\overline{d}(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$  or when  $\underline{d}(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$ , which is the original problem of Sárközy. Clearly nothing better than -2 is possible. We present an alternative approach to this question, which gives the bound of G. Bérczi quickly.

Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$  a set with  $\alpha N$  elements. We consider the set

$$\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A} = \{ a/a', \ a < a', \ a, a' \in A \}.$$

What can we say about the cardinality of  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}$  when N is large? Clearly  $|\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}| \ll \alpha^2 N^2$ . Probably it is the true order of magnitude but we do not know how to improve the theorem below

**Theorem 5.** If  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$  with  $|\mathcal{A}| = \alpha N$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}| \gg \alpha^4 N^2$ .

Proof. Let  $(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d = \{(a, a') \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} : a < a', \gcd(a, a') = d\}$ . Then for every d, all the quotients a/a',  $(a, a') \in (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d$  are distinct and contained in [0,1]. We first show that there exists d such that  $|(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d| \geq \frac{\alpha^4}{9}N^2$ . Let T be an integer to be chosen later. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha N)^2 &\leq |\mathcal{A}|^2 &= \sum_d |(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d| \\ &= \sum_{d \leq T} |(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d| + \sum_{d > T} |(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d| \\ &\leq T \max_{d \leq T} |(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d| + \sum_{d > T} \left(\frac{N}{d}\right)^2 \\ &\leq T \max_{d \leq T} |(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d| + \frac{N^2}{T} \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists  $d \leq T$  such that

$$(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d \ge N^2 \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{T} - \frac{1}{T^2} \right).$$

If we choose  $T = \lceil \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \rceil$  and observe that  $T < \frac{3}{\alpha^2}$  when  $\alpha < 1$  we obtain  $\frac{\alpha^2}{T} - \frac{1}{T^2} \ge \frac{1}{T^2} \ge \frac{\alpha^4}{9}$ . Thus for some d,  $|(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d| \ge N^2 \alpha^4 / 9$ .

Finally we observe that  $|\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}| \geq |(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_d|$  for any d.

We observe that if  $d(\mathcal{A}) > \alpha$  there exist infinitely many intervals [1, N] such that  $|\mathcal{A} \cap [1, N]| > \alpha$ . Theorem above and the pigeon hole principle implies that there are  $a/a', a''/a''' \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\left|\frac{a}{a'} - \frac{a''}{a'''}\right| \le 9\alpha^{-4} N^{-2},$$

so  $|aa''' - a'a''| \le 9\alpha^{-4}$ .

Theorem 5 motivates the following question of independent interest:

Question 2. Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \{1, \ldots, N\}$  with  $|\mathcal{A}| = \alpha N$ . Is it true that  $|\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}| \gg \alpha^2 N^2$ ?

Clearly an affirmative answer to Question 2 will answer Question 1 with  $c(\alpha) \gg \alpha^{-2}$ .

#### References

- G. Bérczi, on the distribution of products of members of a sequence with positive density, Per. Math. Hung., 44 (2002), 137-145.
- [2] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems, J. London Math. Soc. 16 (1941), 212-215.
- [3] C. Sándor, On the minimal gaps between products of members of a sequence of positive density, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math. 48 (2005), 3-7.
- [4] A. Sárközy, Unsolved problems in number theory, Per. Math. Hung., 42 (2001), 17-36.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{franciscojavier.cilleruelo@uam.es}$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095, USA

*E-mail address*: leth@math.ucla.edu