LATTICE POINTS ON CIRCLES

JAVIER CILLERUELO

ABSTRACT. We prove that the lattice points on the circles $x^2 + y^2 = n$ are well distributed for most circles containing lattice points.

1. INTRODUCTION

The number of lattice points on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = n$ is denoted by r(n). It is known that r(n) is an unbounded function and it is a natural question to ask for the distribution of the r(n) lattice points on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = n$

In order to give a measure of the distribution of the lattice points, we consider the polygon with vertices on the r(n) lattice points and we denote by S(n) the area of such polygon. When the lattice points are well distributed, the area of the polygon will be close to the area of the circle, i.e. $\frac{S(n)}{\pi n} \sim 1$.

If r(n) > 0, trivially $\frac{2}{\pi} \leq \frac{S(n)}{\pi n} < 1$. In [1] we proved that the set $\left\{\frac{S(n)}{\pi n} : r(n) > 0\right\}$ is dense in the interval $\left[\frac{2}{\pi}, 1\right]$. In this paper we prove that for most integer n, r(n) > 0, the quantity $\frac{S(n)}{\pi n}$ is close to 1.

Theorem 1.1. Let $x \ge 10^{10^{30}}$. Then, for any $n \le x$ with $r(n) \ne 0$,

(1.1)
$$\frac{S(n)}{\pi n} > 1 - \left(\frac{12\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right)^2$$

with at most $O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{1/2}\log\log x\log\log\log x}\right)$ exceptions.

It should be noted [2] that if we call $R_x = \{n \le x : r(n) \ne 0\}$, then $|R_x| \sim c \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1/2}}$

2. Background

In the proof of theorem 1.1 we will use the prime number theorem for Gaussian primes on small arcs, and the Selberg sieve. We present them in a suitable form in this section. **Theorem 2.1.** Let D a sector of the circle $x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2$ with angle θ . Then

(2.1)
$$\sum_{\rho \in D} 1 = \frac{\theta R^2}{\pi \log R} + O\left(\frac{R^2}{\log^2 R}\right)$$

where $\rho = a + bi$ are primes in Z[i] and the constant in the error term does not depend on θ .

Proof. Stronger versions of this result can be found in [2] and [3] \Box

The sieving function $S(\mathcal{A}, P, z)$ denotes the number of terms of the sequence \mathcal{A} that are not divisible by any prime $p \in P$ such that p < z.

Theorem 2.2. If P is an infinite subset of primes such that

(2.2)
$$\pi_P(x) = \alpha \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

and $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \ldots, x\}$, then

(2.3)
$$S(\mathcal{A}, P, x) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\alpha}}.$$

Proof. It will be a consequence of the Selberg sieve.

For every square-free positive integer d, let $|A_d|$ denote the number of terms of the sequence \mathcal{A} that are divisible by d. Then $|A_d| = \frac{1}{d}x + r(d)$, with $|r(d)| \leq 1$.

Let

$$G(z) = \sum_{m < z, p \mid m \text{ implies } p \in P} \frac{1}{m}.$$

Selberg sieve ([4], pg 180) implies that

$$S(A, P, z) \le \frac{x}{G(z)} + \sum_{d < z^2, d \text{ square-free}} 3^{\omega(d)}$$

Observe that

$$G(z)\prod_{p < z, p \notin P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \cdots\right) \ge \sum_{m < z} \frac{1}{m} \gg \log z$$

and

$$\prod_{p < z, p \notin P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \cdots \right) = \prod_{p < z, p \notin P} \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right) \le$$
$$\le \prod_p \left(\frac{p^2}{p^2 - 1} \right) \prod_{p < z, p \notin P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right).$$

The first product is a constant and the second product can be estimated taking logarithms.

$$\log\left(\prod_{p < z, p \notin P} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) \le \sum_{p < z, p \notin P} \frac{1}{p} = \sum_{p < z} \frac{1}{p} - \sum_{p < z, p \in P} \frac{1}{p}$$

The two sums can be estimated using the Abel summation and the formulas

$$\pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} + O(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}), \qquad \pi_P(x) = \alpha \frac{x}{\log x} + O(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}).$$

Then

$$\sum_{p < z} \frac{1}{p} - \sum_{p < z, p \in P} \frac{1}{p} = (1 - \alpha) \log \log z + O(1)$$

and we have

$$S(\mathcal{A}, P, z) \ll \frac{x}{(\log z)^{\alpha}} + \sum_{m < z^2, m \text{ square-free}} 3^{\omega(m)}.$$

Observe that

$$\sum_{m < z^2, m \text{ square-free}} 3^{\omega(m)} = \sum_{m < z^2, m \text{ square-free}} (2^{\omega(m)})^{\frac{\log 3}{\log 2}} \le$$
$$\le \sum_{m < z^2, m \text{ square-free}} d^2(m) \ll z^2 \log^3 z$$

Now if we choose $z = [x^{1/3}]$ we obtain

$$S(\mathcal{A}, P, x) \le S(\mathcal{A}, P, z) \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{\alpha}}$$

Also we present in this section two easy propositions that we will need in the proof of theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^{2k-1}$ be a set of real numbers such that

$$x_j \in I_j = \left(\frac{j}{2k}, \frac{j+1}{2k}\right], \qquad j = 0, \dots, 2k-1$$

and for any real ϕ let $S = \left\{\phi + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} \epsilon_j x_j, \quad \epsilon_j = \pm 1\right\}$. Then, for any $j = 0, \ldots k - 1$, there exist $s \in S$ such that

$$\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \in J_j = \left(\frac{j}{k}, \frac{j+1}{k}\right],$$

where $\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$ denotes the fractional part of $\frac{s}{2}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \phi - \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} x_j$. Then we can write

$$\frac{1}{2}S = \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} \gamma_j x_j, \quad \gamma_j \in \{0,1\} \right\}.$$

The elements $\frac{s_i}{2} = \frac{\alpha}{2} + x_i$, $i = 0, \dots, 2k - 1$ satisfy $\frac{s_{i+1}}{2} - \frac{s_i}{2} < \frac{1}{k}$ and $\frac{s_0}{2} + 1 - \frac{s_{2k-1}}{2} < \frac{1}{k}$. Then, for each interval J_j there exist a $\frac{s_i}{2}$ such that $\left(\frac{s_i}{2}\right) \in J_j$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $n = n_1 n_2$ such that $n_j = x_j^2 + y_j^2$, $x_j + iy_j = \sqrt{n_j} e^{i\phi_j}$, j = 1, 2. Then, the angles

$$\pm \phi_1 \pm \phi_2$$

correspond to lattice points on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = n$.

Proof. Obvious. See [1] for more details.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For each prime p = 2 or $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ let $\phi_p = \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(a/b)$ where a, b are the only integers such that $a^2 + b^2 = p, 0 < a \leq b$. Then $\phi_p \in (0, 1]$.

We split the interval (0,1] in the 2k intervals $I_j = (\frac{j}{2k}, \frac{j+1}{2k}], j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k-1$ and we define

(3.1)
$$G_k(x) = \left\{ n \in R_x; n = p_0 p_1 \cdots p_{2k-1} m, \text{ with } \phi_{p_j} \in I_j \right\}$$

In proposition 3.1 we will prove that if $n \in G_k(x)$ the lattice points on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = n$ are well distributed, and in proposition 3.2 we will estimate the cardinality of $B_k(x) = R_x \setminus G_k(x)$. Theorem 1.1 will be a consequence of these propositions for a suitable k.

Proposition 3.1. If $n \in G_k(x)$ then

(3.2)
$$\frac{S(n)}{\pi n} > 1 - \frac{13\pi^2}{24k^2}$$

Proof. We can write $n = p_0 \cdots p_{2k-1}n'$. Obviously, n' has, at least, a representation as a sum of two squares, $n' = x'^2 + y'^2$, $x' + iy' = \sqrt{n'} \exp\left(i\frac{\pi}{4}\phi'\right)$.

Proposition 2.4 implies that the angles $\frac{\pi}{4} \left(\phi' + \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} \epsilon_j \phi_{p_j} \right), \epsilon_j = \pm 1$ correspond to lattice points on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = n$.

Suppose that $\frac{\pi}{4}s$ is one of these angles. Then, due to the symmetry of the lattice points, the angle $\frac{\pi}{4}s - \frac{\pi}{2}[\frac{s}{2}] = \frac{\pi}{2}(\frac{s}{2})$ also corresponds to a lattice point.

$$\sqrt{n}\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\theta i\right) \qquad \theta \in J_j$$

Again, due to the symmetry of the lattice points we can find, for every j = 0, ..., k - 1, for r = 0, 1, 2, 3 a lattice point on the arc

$$\sqrt{n}\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\theta+r)i\right) \qquad \theta \in J_j.$$

Now we choose a lattice point for each arc. Let P_0 be the polygon with vertices in these 4k lattice points. Obviously $S_0(n) \leq S(n)$, where $S_0(n) = \text{Area}(P_0)$. Now we denote by $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{4k}$ the angles between each pair of two consecutive lattice points.

If we consider a sector with angle θ and radius \sqrt{n} , an easy geometric argument prove that the part of the sector outside the triangle is less than $\frac{13}{48}n\theta^3$.

Then

$$\pi n - S_0(n) \le \frac{13}{48} n \sum_{j=1}^{4k} \theta_j^3$$

We know that $\theta_j \leq \frac{\pi}{k}$ and that $\sum_{j=1}^{4k} \theta_j = 2\pi$. Then the maximum happens when the half of the angles are 0 and the other half are $\frac{\pi}{k}$. Then

$$\pi n - S(n) \le \pi n - S_0(n) \le n \frac{13\pi^3}{24k^2}$$

Proposition 3.2.

(3.3)
$$|B_k(x)| \ll \frac{kx}{\log^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4k}} x} + kx^{3/4}$$

Proof. If we apply theorem 2.1 to the region

$$D_j = \left\{ (a,b) : a^2 + b^2 \le x, \quad 0 < a \le b, \quad \frac{4}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(\frac{a}{b}) \in I_j \right\}$$

we obtain

(3.4)
$$\pi_{P_j}(x) = \frac{x}{4k \log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

where $P_j = \{p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4} : \phi_p \in I_j\}.$

On the other hand, if we denote by $Q = \{q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} : q \text{ primes} | \},\$ the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions says that $\pi_Q(x) =$ $\frac{x}{2\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$. Then, if $Q_j = Q \cup P_j$ we obtain

(3.5)
$$\pi_{Q_j}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4k}\right)\frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

We define, for any $1 \le l \le \sqrt{x}$, $\mathcal{A}_l^* = \{m \le x/l^2 : m \text{ squarefree }\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_l = \{m \leq x/l^2\}.$

Now, suppose that $n \in B_k(x)$ with $n = l^2m$, m squarefree.

Because $n \in R_x$ then m has not prime divisors $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Because $n \notin G_x$, then there exists an integer j such that m has not prime divisors p with $\phi_p \in I_j$

Then, that integer n is shifted in $S(\mathcal{A}_l^*, Q_j, x/l^2)$. Then (3.6)

$$|B_k(x)| \le \sum_{1 \le l \le \sqrt{x}} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} S(\mathcal{A}_l^*, Q_j, x/l^2) \le \sum_{1 \le l \le \sqrt{x}} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} S(\mathcal{A}_l, Q_j, x/l^2)$$

For $l < x^{1/4}$ we apply theorem 2.2 to each $S(\mathcal{A}_l, Q_j, x/l^2)$

$$S(\mathcal{A}_l, Q_j, x/l^2) \ll \frac{x}{l^2 (\log(x/l^2))^{1/2 + 1/4k}} \ll \frac{x}{l^2 (\log x)^{1/2 + 1/4k}}$$

and then

$$\sum_{1 \le l \le x^{1/4}} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} S(\mathcal{A}_l, Q_j, x/l^2) \ll \frac{kx}{(\log x)^{1/2+1/4k}}.$$

For $l \ge x^{1/4}$ we use the trivial estimate $S(\mathcal{A}_l, Q_j, x/l^2) \le x/l^2$ Then

$$\sum_{k^{1/4} \le l} \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} S(\mathcal{A}_l, Q_j, x/l^2) \ll kx^{3/4}$$

and we finish the proof.

We finish the proof of theorem 1.1 taking $k = \left[\frac{\log \log x}{8 \log \log \log \log x}\right]$. Observe that if $x \ge 10^{10^{30}}$, then $k = \left[\frac{\log \log x}{8 \log \log \log x}\right] > \frac{\log \log x}{16 \log \log \log x}$ and then 2

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{S(n)}{\pi n} > 1 - \frac{13}{24} \left(\frac{16\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right)^2 > 1 - \left(\frac{12\log\log\log x}{\log\log x}\right)^2$$

and

(3.8)
$$|B_k(x)| \ll \frac{\log \log x}{8 \log \log \log x} \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1/2} (\log x)^{\frac{2 \log \log \log x}{\log \log x}}}$$

(3.9)
$$= \frac{x}{(\log x)^{1/2} \log \log x \log \log \log x}$$

References.

[1] Javier Cilleruelo, "The distribution of the lattice points on circles". *Journal of Number theory*, Vol. 43, No. 2, Febrery 1993, 198-202.

[2] I. Kubilyus, "The distribution of Gaussian primes in sectors and contours". Leningrad. Gos. Univ. Uc. Zap. Ser. Mat. Nauk 137 (19) (1950), 40-52.

[3] T. Mitsui, "Generalized prime number theorem", Jap. J. Math. 26 (1956), 1-42.

[4] M. Nathanson, "Additive number theory: The classical bases." Springer, 174 (1996).