# ARCS CONTAINING NO THREE LATTICE POINTS

Javier Cilleruelo

Departamento de Matematicas. Universidad Autonoma de Madrid.

28049-Madrid, Espana.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In [1], A.Cordoba and myself developed a method to study the location of lattice points on circles centered at the origin. There we proved the following theorem:

### Theorem A.

On a circle of radius R centered at the origin, an arc whose length is not greater than

$$\sqrt{2}R^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{4[\frac{m}{2}]+2}}$$

contains, at most, m lattice points.

We could not prove wether the exponent  $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4[\frac{m}{2}]+2}$  is sharp for each m. In particular, we do not know if the number of lattice points on arcs of length  $R^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is bounded uniformly in R or not. Probably it is not.

Obviously, theorem A is sharp for m = 1. The case m = 2 was first proved by A.Schinzel and used by Zygmund [2] to prove a Cantor-Lebesgue theorem in two variables.

It is not too hard to prove that the exponent  $\frac{1}{3}$  can not be improved.

In this paper we get the best constant C, such that an arc of length  $CR^{\frac{1}{3}}$  can not contain three lattice points.

#### Theorem 1.

i) On a circle of radius R centered at the origin, an arc whose length is not greater than  $2\sqrt[3]{2}R^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , contains, at most, two lattice points.

ii) For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist infinitely many circles  $x^2 + y^2 = R_n^2$  with arcs of length  $2\sqrt[3]{2}R_n^{\frac{1}{3}} + \epsilon$  containing three lattice points.

#### 2 PRELIMINARY LEMMA AND NOTATION.

Let us denote by r(n) the number of representations of the integer n as a sum of two squares, i.e. r(n) is the number of lattice points on the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = n$ . Therefore we shall associate lattice points with Gaussian integers:

 $a^2 + b^2 = n$  determines a Gaussian integer  $a + bi = \sqrt{n}e^{2\pi i\Phi}$  for a suitable angle  $\Phi$ .

If

$$n = 2^{\nu} \prod_{p_j \equiv 1(4)} p_j^{\alpha_j} \prod_{q_k \equiv 3(4)} q_k^{\beta_k}$$

is the prime factorization of the integer n, then r(n) = 0 unless all the exponents  $\beta_k$  are even. In that case we have  $r(n) = 4 \prod (1 + \alpha_j)$ .

A prime  $p_j \equiv 1(4)$  can be represented as a sum of two squares,  $p_j = a^2 + b^2$ , 0 < a < b, in only one way. Then, for each  $p_j$ , the angle  $\Phi_j$ , such that  $a + bi = \sqrt{p_j}e^{2\pi i\Phi_j}$  is well defined.

With this notation we proved in [1] the following lemma:

#### Lemma.

If

$$n = 2^{\nu} \prod_{p_j \equiv 1(4)} p_j^{\alpha_j} \prod_{q_k \equiv 3(4)} q_k^{2\beta_k}$$

then the Gaussian integers corresponding to the  $4 \prod (1 + \alpha_j)$  lattice points on the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = n$  are given by the formula

$$\sqrt{n}e^{2\pi i\{\Phi_0+\sum_j\gamma_j\Phi_j+\frac{t}{4}\}}$$

where  $\Phi_j$  is the angle corresponding to  $p_j$ ,

 $\gamma_j \text{ runs over the set } \{\gamma \in Z; |\gamma| \leq \alpha_j \quad \gamma \equiv \alpha_j(2) \}$ t takes the values 0, 1, 2, 3 and

$$\Phi_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad \nu \quad is \ even \\ \frac{1}{8} & if \quad \nu \quad is \ odd \end{cases}$$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

i) Let us suppose that for the integer

$$n_0 = 2^{\nu} \prod_{p_j \equiv 1(4)} p_j^{\alpha_j} \prod_{q_k \equiv 3(4)} q_k^{2\beta_k}$$

there is an arc, on the circle of radius  $R_0 = \sqrt{n_0}$  centered at the origin, which contains three lattice points and whose length is  $2\sqrt[3]{2}R_0^{\frac{1}{3}}$ .

The previous lemma implies that the same must be true for the circle of radius  $R = \sqrt{n}$  where  $n = \prod_{p_j \equiv 1(4)} p_j^{\alpha_j}$ .

Let  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3$  be three such lattice points. By the lemma, they have representations of the form

$$\sqrt{n}e^{2\pi i \{\sum_{j} \gamma_{j}^{s} \Phi_{j} + \frac{t^{s}}{4}\}}$$
 (s = 1, 2, 3)

 $\gamma_j^s \in \{\gamma \in Z; |\gamma| \le \alpha_j \quad \gamma \equiv \alpha_j(2)\}, \quad t^s \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ For each pair  $\nu_s \ne \nu_{s'}$  of such points, let us consider the quantity

$$\Psi^{s,s'} = \sum_{j} \Phi_{j} \{\gamma_{j}^{s} - \gamma_{j}^{s'}\} + \frac{t^{s} - t^{s'}}{4} = 2\{\sum_{j} \Phi_{j} \frac{\gamma_{j}^{s} - \gamma_{j}^{s'}}{2} + \frac{t^{s} - t^{s'}}{8}\}$$

and observe that  $\gamma_j^{s,s'} = \frac{\gamma_j^s - \gamma_j^{s'}}{2}$  takes always integer values. We can write  $\frac{t^s - t^{s'}}{8} = \frac{\delta(s,s')}{8} + \frac{t^{s,s'}}{4}$  where  $t^{s,s'}$  is an integer and

$$\delta(s,s') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad t^s \equiv t^{s'}(2) \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad t^s \equiv t^{s'}(2) \end{cases}$$

Now, the angles  $\frac{\Psi^{s,s'}}{2}$  correspond to a representation as a sum of two squares of

$$2^{\delta(s,s')} \prod_{j} p_{j}^{|\gamma_{j}^{s,s'}|} = n_{s,s'}^{2} + m_{s,s'}^{2} \qquad 1 \le n_{s,s'} \le m_{s,s'}$$

Then, 
$$\frac{\Psi^{s,s'}}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{arctg} \frac{n_{s,s'}}{m_{s,s'}}$$
 where  
 $\operatorname{arctg} \frac{n_{s,s'}}{m_{s,s'}} \ge \operatorname{arctg} \frac{1}{m_{s,s'}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{s,s'}^2 + 1}} \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{\delta(s,s')} \prod p_j^{|\gamma_j^{s,s'}|}}}$ 

And we have

$$\frac{\Psi^{1,2}}{2} \frac{\Psi^{1,3}}{2} \frac{\Psi^{2,3}}{2} > \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2^{\delta(1,2) + \delta(1,3) + \delta(2,3)} \prod p_j^{|\gamma_j^{1,2}| + |\gamma_j^{1,3}| + |\gamma_j^{2,3}|}}}.$$

The maximum value of

$$|\gamma_j^{1,2}| + |\gamma_j^{1,3}| + |\gamma_j^{2,3}| = \frac{|\gamma_j^1 - \gamma_j^2|}{2} + \frac{|\gamma_j^1 - \gamma_j^3|}{2} + \frac{|\gamma_j^2 - \gamma_j^3|}{2}$$

is obtained when  $\gamma_j^1 = \gamma_j^2 = \alpha_j$  and  $\gamma_j^3 = -\alpha_j$ . Then  $|\gamma_j^{1,2}| + |\gamma_j^{1,3}| + |\gamma_j^{2,3}| \le 2\alpha_j$ . Also we can observe that  $\delta(1,2) + \delta(1,3) + \delta(2,3) \le 2$ .

Then we get

$$\Psi^{1,2}\Psi^{1,3}\Psi^{2,3} > \frac{1}{2\pi^3 R^2}.$$

On the other hand, if  $P_1, P_2, P_3$  are three points of the interval [0, 1], we have

$$|P_1 - P_2||P_1 - P_3||P_2 - P_3| \le \frac{1}{4}.$$

This implies that for three lattice points on an arc of length  $2\sqrt[3]{2}R^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , we have

$$\Psi^{1,2}\Psi^{1,3}\Psi^{2,3} \le \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{2\sqrt[3]{2}R^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2\pi R}\right)^3 = \frac{1}{2\pi^3 R^2}$$

and we get a contradiction.

ii) For each n we consider the circle  $x^2+y^2=R_n^2$  where

$$R_n^2 = 16n^6 + 4n^4 + 4n^2 + 1.$$

We can see that

$$16n^6 + 4n^4 + 4n^2 + 1 = (4n^3 - 1)^2 + (2n^2 + 2n)^2 = (4n^3)^2 + (2n^2 + 1)^2 = (4n^3 + 1)^2 + (2n^2 - 2n)^2.$$

The three lattice points  $(4n^3-1, 2n^2+2n)$ ,  $(4n^3, 2n^2+1)$ ,  $(4n^3+1, 2n^2-2n)$  are on an arc of length

$$R_n\{arctg\frac{2n^2+2n}{4n^3-1}-arctg\frac{2n^2-2n}{4n^3+1}\} = R_narctg\frac{16n^4+4n^2}{16n^6+4n^4-4n^2-1} = 2\sqrt[3]{2}R_n^{\frac{1}{3}} + o(1)$$

and the theorem follows.

### **REFERENCES.**

[1] J.CILLERUELO and A.CORDOBA. *Trigonometric polynomials and lattice points*, Proceedings of the A.M.S., to appear.

[2] A.ZYGMUND. A Cantor-Lebesgue theorem for double trigonometric series, Studia Math. 64 (1972).