

QUANTIFYING DEMOCRACY OF WAVELET BASES IN LORENTZ SPACES

EUGENIO HERNÁNDEZ, JOSÉ MARÍA MARTELL, AND MARIA DE NATIVIDADE

ABSTRACT. We study the efficiency of the greedy algorithm for wavelet bases in Lorentz spaces in order to give the near best approximation. The result is used to give inclusions for the approximation spaces in terms of discrete Lorentz sequence spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\mathbb{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{B}})$ be a Banach (or quasi-Banach) space with a countable unconditional basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$; that is, every $x \in \mathbb{B}$ can be uniquely represented as an unconditionally convergent series $x = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} s_j e_j$, for some sequence of scalars $\{s_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

An approximation algorithm for the space \mathbb{B} and the basis \mathcal{B} is a sequence of maps $F_N : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each $x \in \mathbb{B}$, $F_N(x)$ is a linear combination of at most N elements of the basis \mathcal{B} . The most classical algorithm is the one given by the partial sums operators $S_N(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N s_j e_j$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

The thresholding procedure that is used in image compression and other applications can be modeled using the greedy algorithm. If $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s_j e_j$ and the basis elements are ordered in such a way that

$$\|s_{j_1} e_{j_1}\|_{\mathbb{B}} \geq \|s_{j_2} e_{j_2}\|_{\mathbb{B}} \geq \|s_{j_3} e_{j_3}\|_{\mathbb{B}} \geq \dots \quad (1.1)$$

(handling ties arbitrarily) the **greedy algorithm of step N** is defined by the correspondence

$$x = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} s_j e_j \in \mathcal{B} \longmapsto G_N(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N s_{j_k} e_{j_k}. \quad (1.2)$$

For $x \in \mathbb{B}$, the *N-term error of approximation* (with respect to \mathcal{B}) is defined by

$$\sigma_N(x)_{\mathbb{B}} = \inf \{ \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{B}} : y \in \Sigma_N \}, \quad (1.3)$$

where Σ_N denotes the set of all elements $y \in \mathbb{B}$ with at most N non-null coefficients in the basis representation. It is clear that $\sigma_N(x)_{\mathbb{B}} \leq \|x - G_N(x)\|_{\mathbb{B}}$. A basis \mathcal{B} is said

Date: October 2, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A17, 42C40.

Key words and phrases. Greedy algorithm, non-linear approximation, Lorentz spaces, wavelets, approximation spaces.

The research of E. Hernández is supported by Grant MTM2007-60952 of Spain and SIMUMAT S-0505/ESP-0158 of the Madrid Community Region. The research of J.M. Martell is supported by MEC “Programa Ramón y Cajal, 2005”, by MEC Grant MTM2007-60952, and by UAM-CM Grant CCG07-UAM/ESP-1664. The research of M. de Natividade is supported by Instituto Nacional de Bolsas de Estudos de Angola, INABE.

to be **greedy** in $(\mathbb{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{B}})$ if there exists a constant $C \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \|x - G_N(x)\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq \sigma_N(x)_{\mathbb{B}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{B}, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.4)$$

Thus, for such bases the greedy algorithm produces an almost optimal N -term approximation, which leads often to a precise identification of the approximation spaces $\mathcal{A}_q^\alpha(\mathbb{B})$ (see [4] or [6] for precise definitions and results). A result of S.V. Konyagin and V.N. Temlyakov ([11]) gives a characterization of greedy bases in a Banach space \mathbb{B} as those which are unconditional and democratic, that is, for some $c > 0$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{e_\gamma}{\|e_\gamma\|_{\mathbb{B}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq c \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \frac{e_\gamma}{\|e_\gamma\|_{\mathbb{B}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \quad (1.5)$$

for all finite sets of indices $\Gamma, \Gamma' \in \mathbb{N}$ with the same cardinality.

Wavelets systems are well known examples of greedy bases for many function spaces. In [16], V.N. Temlyakov shows that the Haar basis (and indeed any wavelet system L^p -equivalent to it) is greedy in the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$. When wavelets have sufficient smoothness and decay they are also greedy bases for the more general Sobolev and Triebel-Lizorkin classes (see, e.g. [6] and [9].)

In this paper we study the efficiency of wavelet greedy algorithms in the Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Wavelet bases are unconditional in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ ([14]). When $p = q$, $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and by the above mentioned result of V.N. Temlyakov they are also greedy. But when $p \neq q$ the Haar basis is not democratic (hence, not greedy) in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see [18]). We give in Section 3 a simple proof of the fact that admissible wavelet bases (see the definition below) are not democratic in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $p \neq q$.

In view of this result it is interesting to ask how far wavelet bases are from being democratic in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $p \neq q$. To quantify democracy of a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ we consider

$$h_r(N; \mathbb{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \sup_{\text{Card}(\Gamma)=N} \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{e_\gamma}{\|e_\gamma\|_{\mathbb{B}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$h_l(N; \mathbb{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \inf_{\text{Card}(\Gamma)=N} \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{e_\gamma}{\|e_\gamma\|_{\mathbb{B}}} \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \quad (1.7)$$

which we call the right and left democracy function of \mathcal{B} (see [7], [5] and [10].)

The main result of this paper gives a precise estimate for the right and left democracy functions of wavelet admissible bases in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in terms of the exponents p and q .

Theorem 1.1. *For $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$, let $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi_Q^l : Q \in \mathcal{D}, l = 1, \dots, L\}$ be an admissible wavelet basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then*

$$h_l(N; L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}) \approx N^{\frac{1}{\max(p,q)}} \quad \text{and} \quad h_r(N; L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{B}) \approx N^{\frac{1}{\min(p,q)}}. \quad (1.8)$$

The organization of this paper is as follows. Notation and results needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of this theorem. As an application of Theorem 1.1 we give inclusions for the approximation

spaces (see Section 4) in terms of discrete Lorentz spaces. The main result of this section is Theorem 4.5 which gives the best possible inclusions in this setting.

2. PRELIMINAIRES

2.1. Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$ the set of functions f on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \left(q \int_0^\infty t^q |\{x : |f(x)| > t\}|^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

defines the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that $\|\lambda f\|_{p,q} = |\lambda| \|f\|_{p,q}$. In general, although the expression (2.1) is not a norm, $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach space with a norm equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{p,q}$ (see [15] or [1] for more information about these spaces). When $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$ the Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are separable rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces. Note that for a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|\chi_E\|_{p,q} = \left(q \int_0^1 t^q |E|^{\frac{q}{p}} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = |E|^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.2)$$

For future reference we state the following elementary result that gives a discrete characterization of Lorentz spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$. For any $a > \max\{1, 2^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}\}$ we have*

$$(i) \|f\|_{p,q} \approx \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{kq} |\{x : |f(x)| \geq a^k\}|^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(ii) \|f\|_{p,q} \approx \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a^{kq} |\{x : a^k \leq |f(x)| < a^{k+1}\}|^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

2.2. Wavelet bases for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{Q_{j,k} = 2^{-j}([0, 1)^d + k) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ denote the set of all dyadic cubes in \mathbb{R}^d . We say that a finite family of functions $\{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^L\} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an **orthonormal wavelet system** if the collection

$$\{\psi_{j,k}^l(x) = 2^{\frac{jd}{2}} \psi^l(2^j x - k) : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, L = 1, 2, \dots, l\} \quad (2.3)$$

is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For simplicity we write ψ_Q^l for $\psi_{j,k}^l$ when $Q = Q_{j,k}$ is a dyadic cube. The reader is referred to [8], [12] for construction, examples and properties of orthonormal wavelets. Many wavelet families are unconditional bases for $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, there is a characterization for functions $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, in terms of the wavelet coefficients $\langle f, \psi_Q \rangle$, $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, L$, that is,

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \approx \|S_\psi(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$S_\psi(f)(x) = \left(\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} |\langle f, \psi_Q^l \rangle|^2 |Q|^{-1} \chi_Q(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.5)$$

The characterization (2.4) holds for the d -dimensional Haar system, for wavelets arising from r -regular multiresolution analyses (see [12], pg. 22), for wavelets belonging

to the regularity class R^o (as defined in [8], pg. 64, for $d=1$), and, in fact, for any orthonormal wavelet in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with very mild decay conditions (see [13] and [17]). A wavelet system that satisfies (2.4) for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ is called an **admissible wavelet system**.

P.M Soardi proved in [14] that admissible wavelet systems $\{\psi_Q^l : Q \in \mathcal{D}, l = 1, 2, \dots, L\}$ are unconditional basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty$ and there is a characterization similar to (2.4) for these spaces.

Proposition 2.2 ([14]). *Let $1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\{\psi^l : l = 1, \dots, L\}$ be an admissible wavelet system. Then, any $f \in L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be written in the form*

$$f = \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f, \psi_Q^l \rangle \psi_Q^l \quad (2.6)$$

with unconditional convergence in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and moreover

$$\|f\|_{p,q} \approx \|S_\psi(f)\|_{p,q} \quad (2.7)$$

This result was derived from the corresponding wavelet characterization of Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, by applying Boyd's interpolation theorem for sublinear operators.

Remark 2.3. *For the sake of simplicity, we assume throughout the paper that $L = 1$. Our theorems will remain valid for any $L \geq 1$, since the finite sum appearing in the definition of $S_\psi(f)$ given in (2.5) is harmless in our computations.*

2.3. A simple Lemma. We state and prove a simple result that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_J \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N = N_1 + \dots + N_J$. For any $\alpha > 0$,*

$$\min\{N, N^\alpha\} \leq \sum_{j=1}^J N_j^\alpha \leq \max\{N, N^\alpha\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. If $\alpha \leq 1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^J N_j^\alpha \leq \sum_{j=1}^J N_j = N = \max\{N, N^\alpha\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{j=1}^J N_j^\alpha \geq \left(\sum_{j=1}^J N_j \right)^\alpha = N^\alpha = \min\{N, N^\alpha\}.$$

If $\alpha > 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^J N_j^\alpha \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^J N_j \right)^\alpha = N^\alpha = \max\{N, N^\alpha\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{j=1}^J N_j^\alpha \geq \sum_{j=1}^J N_j = N = \min\{N, N^\alpha\}.$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We start by showing that

$$h_r(N; L^{p,q}, \mathcal{B}) \gtrsim N^{\frac{1}{\min(p,q)}} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$h_l(N; L^{p,q}, \mathcal{B}) \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{\max(p,q)}}. \quad (3.2)$$

This is done by exhibiting two examples of subsets of dyadic cubes Γ_1 and Γ_2 , both of cardinality N such that

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_1} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \approx N^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_2} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \approx N^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (3.3)$$

By (2.7), (2.5) and (2.2), for $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ an orthonormal wavelet

$$\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q} \approx \| |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \chi_Q \|_{p,q} = |Q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |Q|^{\frac{1}{p}} = |Q|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Again by (2.7) we obtain, for any finite set $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{D}$

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \approx \left\| \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \chi_Q \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{p,q}. \quad (3.5)$$

Choose $\Gamma_1 = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_N\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ a set of disjoint dyadic cubes of the same fixed size $|Q|$. Then, by (3.5) and (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_1} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} &\approx \left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_1} |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_Q \right\|_{p,q} = \| |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{\cup_{Q \in \Gamma_1} Q} \|_{p,q} \\ &= |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left| \bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma_1} Q \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} = N^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Choose now $\Gamma_2 = \{\tilde{Q}_1, \dots, \tilde{Q}_N\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ a pairwise disjoint family of dyadic cubes all of them of different sizes, say $|\tilde{Q}_j| = 2^{-jd}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By (3.5) we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\tilde{Q} \in \Gamma_2} \frac{\psi_{\tilde{Q}}}{\|\psi_{\tilde{Q}}\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \approx \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N |\tilde{Q}_j|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} \right\|_{p,q} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{\frac{jd}{p}} \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j} \right\|_{p,q}. \quad (3.7)$$

Using the discrete characterization of the Lorentz spaces given in Proposition 2.1, part (ii), with $a = 2^{\frac{d}{p}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\tilde{Q} \in \Gamma_2} \frac{\psi_{\tilde{Q}}}{\|\psi_{\tilde{Q}}\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} &\approx \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{kqd}{p}} |\{x : 2^{\frac{kd}{p}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^N 2^{\frac{jd}{p}} \chi_{\tilde{Q}_j}(x) < 2^{\frac{(k+1)d}{p}}\}|^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^N 2^{\frac{jqd}{p}} |\tilde{Q}_j|^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = N^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Observe that (3.6) and (3.8) prove (3.1) and (3.2). Also observe that this shows that wavelet admissible bases cannot be democratic in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ unless $p = q$.

We now show that

$$h_l(N; L^{p,q}, \mathcal{B}) \gtrsim N^{\frac{1}{\max(p,q)}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Let Γ be a subset of \mathcal{D} of cardinality N . By (3.5) and using $\ell^2(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ we obtain

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \approx \left\| \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \chi_Q(\cdot) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{p,q} \gtrsim \left\| \sup_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_Q(\cdot) \right\|_{p,q}. \quad (3.10)$$

Let $F(x) = \sup_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_Q(x)$, which is a finite value function. By part (i) of Proposition 2.1 with $a = 2^{\frac{d}{p}}$

$$\|F\|_{p,q}^q \approx \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{jqd}{p}} |\{x : F(x) \geq 2^{\frac{j}{p}}\}|^{\frac{q}{p}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Write $\Gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^J \Gamma_j$ where $\Gamma_j = \{I \in \Gamma : |Q| = 2^{-dk_j}\}$ with $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_J$. We have $\sum_{j=1}^J \text{Card}(\Gamma_j) = \text{Card}(\Gamma) = N$. Since the disjoint union $\bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma_j} Q$ is contained in $\{x : F(x) \geq 2^{\frac{k_j d}{p}}\}$ we deduce from (3.11)

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_{p,q}^q &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^J 2^{\frac{k_j qd}{p}} \left| \bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma_j} Q \right|^{\frac{q}{p}} = \sum_{j=1}^J 2^{\frac{k_j qd}{p}} \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma_j} |Q| \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^J (\text{Card}(\Gamma_j))^{\frac{q}{p}} \gtrsim \min\{N, N^{\frac{q}{p}}\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where the last inequality is due to Lemma 2.4. From (3.10) and (3.12) we deduce

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \gtrsim \min\{N^{\frac{1}{p}}, N^{\frac{1}{q}}\} = N^{\frac{1}{\max(p,q)}}, \quad (3.13)$$

showing (3.9).

Finally, we need to show the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{\min(p,q)}} \quad (3.14)$$

for any subset Γ of \mathcal{D} of cardinality N . We first linearize the square function. By (3.4) and (2.5)

$$S_\psi \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right)(x) \approx S_\psi \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \psi_Q \right)(x) = \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \chi_Q(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.15)$$

For each $x \in \bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma} Q$, let Q_x be the smallest dyadic cube in Γ containing x . Then, we have the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \chi_Q(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \left(\sum_{\substack{Q \supset Q_x \\ Q \in \mathcal{D}}} |Q|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{jd} |Q_x|)^{-\frac{2}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= |Q_x|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{Q_x}(x) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{2jd}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_p |Q_x|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{Q_x}(x) \\ &\leq c_p \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} |Q|^{-\frac{2}{p}} \chi_Q(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where the last inequality holds since the right hand side contains at least the cube Q_x (and possibly more). Hence (3.15) and (3.16) show:

$$S_\psi \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right) (x) \approx |Q_x|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{Q_x}(x). \quad (3.17)$$

This linearization procedure has been used by other authors in the context of N -term approximation (see e.g [3], [6], [7], [9]).

Let $\Gamma_{\min} = \{Q \in \Gamma : Q = Q_x \text{ for some } x \in \bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma} Q\}$ be the set of minimal cubes from Γ . The cubes from Γ_{\min} are not pairwise disjoint. To have a disjoint family of sets, for each $Q \in \Gamma$ we define, as in [7], $Light(Q) = Q \setminus Shade(Q)$ where

$$Shade(Q) = \bigcup \left\{ R : R \in \Gamma, R \subsetneq Q \right\}.$$

Clearly

$$\bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma} Q = \bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma_{\min}} Light(Q)$$

and the sets in the last union are pairwise disjoint. From (3.17) we obtain

$$S_\psi \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right) (x) \approx \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_{\min}} \frac{\chi_{Light(Q)}(x)}{|Q|^{\frac{1}{p}}} \quad (3.18)$$

From (3.18) we deduce

$$\left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \approx \left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_{\min}} |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{Light(Q)} \right\|_{p,q}. \quad (3.19)$$

Write $\Gamma_{\min} = \bigcup_{j=1}^J \Gamma_j$ where $\Gamma_j = \{Q \in \Gamma_{\min} : |Q| = 2^{-k_j d}\}$, with $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_J$. We have $\sum_{j=1}^J Card(\Gamma_j) = Card(\Gamma_{\min})$. By (ii) of Proposition 2.1 with $a = 2^{\frac{d}{p}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} &\approx \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{\frac{kqd}{p}} |\{x : 2^{\frac{kd}{p}} \leq \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_{\min}} |Q|^{-\frac{1}{p}} \chi_{Light(Q)}(x) \leq 2^{\frac{(k+1)d}{p}}\}|^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^J 2^{\frac{k_j qd}{p}} \left| \bigcup_{Q \in \Gamma_j} Light(Q) \right|^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^J 2^{\frac{k_j qd}{p}} \left(\sum_{Q \in \Gamma_j} 2^{-k_j d} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^J (Card(\Gamma_j))^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \max\{(Card(\Gamma_{\min}))^{\frac{1}{q}}, (Card(\Gamma_{\min}))^{\frac{1}{p}}\} \\ &\leq N^{\frac{1}{\min(p,q)}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where the next to the last equality is due to Lemma 2.4. This shows (3.14), finishing the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

4. INCLUSIONS FOR APPROXIMATION SPACES

We recall the definition of the **approximation spaces**. For a Banach (or quasi-Banach) space \mathbb{B} , given $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < r < \infty$ the approximation space is

$$\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(\mathbb{B}) = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{B} : \left[\sum_{N \geq 1} [N^\alpha \sigma_N(x)_\mathbb{B}]^r \frac{1}{N} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty \right\} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(\mathbb{B})} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{B}} + \left[\sum_{N \geq 1} [N^\alpha \sigma_N(x)_{\mathbb{B}}]^r \frac{1}{N} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\sigma_N(x)_{\mathbb{B}}$ is the N -term error of approximation (see (1.3).) When $r = \infty$ the above definition is modified in the standard way,

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty^\alpha(\mathbb{B}) = \{x \in \mathcal{B} : \sup_{N \geq 1} N^\alpha \sigma_N(x)_{\mathbb{B}} < \infty\} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}_\infty^\alpha(\mathbb{B})} = \|x\|_{\mathbb{B}} + \sup_{N \geq 1} N^\alpha \sigma_N(x)_{\mathbb{B}}. \quad (4.4)$$

The inclusions will be given in terms of sequence spaces over the index set \mathcal{D} . Let \mathcal{C}_o be the set of sequences $\mathbf{s} = \{s_Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}\}$ for which we can find an enumeration of the index set $\mathcal{D} = \{(Q_k)_{k=1}^\infty\}$ such that $|s_{Q_1}| \geq |s_{Q_2}| \geq \dots$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |s_{Q_k}| = 0$. We shall always assume that $\{s_{Q_k}; k \geq 1\}$ corresponds to such an ordering, which coincides with the **non-increasing rearrangement** \mathbf{s}^* of \mathbf{s} .

For each $0 < \tau, r < \infty$ we define the **discrete Lorentz space** by

$$\ell^{\tau,r} = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{C}_o : \|\mathbf{s}\|_{\ell^{\tau,r}} = \left[\sum_{k \geq 1} (k^{\frac{1}{\tau}} |s_{Q_k}|)^r \frac{1}{k} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty\} \quad (4.5)$$

and if $r = \infty$

$$\ell^{\tau,\infty} = \{\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{C}_o : \|\mathbf{s}\|_{\ell^{\tau,\infty}} = \sup_{k \geq 1} k^{\frac{1}{\tau}} |s_{Q_k}| < \infty\}. \quad (4.6)$$

Let $f \in L^{p,q}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, and write $f = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f, \psi_Q \rangle \psi_Q$. Then, define $\ell^{\tau,r}(L^{p,q})$, as the set of all $f \in L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that the sequence $\{\|\langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k}\|_{p,q} : k \geq 1\} \in \ell^{\tau,r}$ and

$$\|f\|_{\ell^{\tau,r}(L^{p,q})} = \left\| \|\langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k}\|_{p,q} \right\|_{\ell^{\tau,r}} \quad (4.7)$$

where as before $\|\langle f, \psi_{Q_1} \rangle \psi_{Q_1}\|_{p,q} \geq \|\langle f, \psi_{Q_2} \rangle \psi_{Q_2}\|_{p,q} \geq \dots$. By (3.4) we can write

$$\|f\|_{\ell^{\tau,r}(L^{p,q})} \approx \left\| \|\langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle\| |Q_k|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\ell^{\tau,r}} = \left[\sum_{k \geq 1} (k^{\frac{1}{\tau}} |Q_k|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} |\langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle|)^r \frac{1}{k} \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad (4.8)$$

with the obvious modifications if $r = \infty$.

4.1. Jackson's inequalities. In order to obtain inclusions for the approximation spaces we start by proving some inequalities of Jackson type.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\{\psi_Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be an admissible wavelet basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\frac{1}{\tau^-} = \frac{1}{\min(p,q)} + \alpha$. We have*

$$\|f - G_N(f)\|_{p,q} \leq CN^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^-, \infty}(L^{p,q})} \quad \text{for all } N \geq 1 \quad (4.9)$$

and all $f \in \ell^{\tau^-, \infty}(L^{p,q})$.

Proof. We show the case $p \leq q$ and leave the other case to the reader. By the triangle inequality and Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f - G_N(f)\|_{p,q} &= \left\| \sum_{k>N} \langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k} \right\|_{p,q} = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2^j N < k \leq 2^{j+1} N} \langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k} \right\|_{p,q} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{2^j N < k \leq 2^{j+1} N} \langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k} \right\|_{p,q} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| \langle f, \psi_{Q_{2^j N}} \rangle \psi_{Q_{2^j N}} \right\|_{p,q} \left\| \sum_{2^j N < k \leq 2^{j+1} N} \frac{\psi_{Q_k}}{\|\psi_{Q_k}\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| \langle f, \psi_{Q_{2^j N}} \rangle \psi_{Q_{2^j N}} \right\|_{p,q} (2^j N)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{4.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\|\langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k}\|_{p,q} \geq \|\langle f, \psi_{Q_{k+1}} \rangle \psi_{Q_{k+1}}\|_{p,q}$ for all $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f - G_N(f)\|_{p,q} &\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2^{j-1} N < k \leq 2^j N} \left\| \langle f, \psi_{Q_{2^j N}} \rangle \psi_{Q_{2^j N}} \right\|_{p,q} (2^j N)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{2^{j-1} N} \\
 &\leq 2C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2^{j-1} N < k \leq 2^j N} \left\| \langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k} \right\|_{p,q} \frac{(2k)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{k} \\
 &= C \sum_{k > \frac{N}{2}} \left\| \langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k} \right\|_{p,q} k^{\frac{1}{p} + \alpha} \frac{1}{k} k^{-\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of the $\ell^{\tau^-, \infty}(L^{p,q})$ we obtain

$$\|f - G_N(f)\|_{p,q} \leq C \|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^-, \infty}(L^{p,q})} \sum_{k \geq \frac{N}{2}} k^{-\alpha-1} \approx C \|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^-, \infty}(L^{p,q})} N^{-\alpha}.$$

□

The result just proved can be used to obtain an inclusion for the approximation spaces.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\{\psi_Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be an admissible wavelet basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. For every $\alpha > 0$ we have*

$$\ell^{\tau^-, \infty}(L^{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\infty}^{\alpha}(L^{p,q}), \quad \frac{1}{\tau^-} = \frac{1}{\min(p, q)} + \alpha. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.1

$$N^{\alpha} \sigma_N(f)_{L^{p,q}} \leq N^{\alpha} \|f - G_N(f)\|_{p,q} \leq C \|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^-, \infty}(L^{p,q})}.$$

The result follows by taking the supremum over all $N \geq 1$. □

4.2. Bernstein's inequalities. Converse inclusions for approximation spaces are usually proved by means of Bernstein's inequalities.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\{\psi_Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be an admissible wavelet basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\frac{1}{\tau^+} = \frac{1}{\max(p,q)} + \alpha$. We have*

$$\|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^+,1}(L^{p,q})} \leq C\|f\|_{p,q}N^\alpha \quad \text{for all } f \in \Sigma_N \subset L^{p,q}.$$

Proof. We only give the case $p \leq q$ leaving the other case to the reader. Since $f \in \Sigma_N$ we can write $f = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k}$ with $\|\langle f, \psi_{Q_1} \rangle \psi_{Q_1}\|_{p,q} \geq \|\langle f, \psi_{Q_2} \rangle \psi_{Q_2}\|_{p,q} \geq \dots$. Then, by Theorem 1.1

$$k^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\psi_{Q_j}}{\|\psi_{Q_j}\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, N$

$$\|\langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k}\|_{p,q} k^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \langle f, \psi_{Q_j} \rangle \psi_{Q_j} \right\|_{p,q} = C\|f\|_{p,q}.$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^+,1}(L^{p,q})} = \sum_{k=1}^N k^{\frac{1}{\tau^+}} \|\langle f, \psi_{Q_k} \rangle \psi_{Q_k}\|_{p,q} \frac{1}{k} \leq C\|f\|_{p,q} \sum_{k=1}^N k^\alpha \frac{1}{k} \approx C\|f\|_{p,q} N^\alpha. \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\{\psi_Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be an admissible wavelet basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. For every $\alpha > 0$ we have*

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho^\alpha(L^{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \ell^{\tau^+,1}(L^{p,q}), \quad \frac{1}{\tau^+} = \frac{1}{\max(p,q)} + \alpha, \quad 0 < \rho \leq \min(\tau^+, 1). \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. It suffices to prove $\|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^+,1}(L^{p,q})} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\rho^\alpha(L^{p,q})}$ for all $f \in \Sigma_N$, $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with a constant C independent of N , see [4]. We may assume $N = 2^J$. For each $j = 0, 2, \dots, J-1$ we can select $f^{(j)} \in \Sigma_{2^j}$ such that $\|f - f^{(j)}\|_{p,q} \leq 2\sigma_{2^j}(f)_{L^{p,q}}$. With $f^{(J)} = f$ and $f^{(-1)} = 0$ we can write

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^J [f^{(j)} - f^{(j-1)}]$$

By Proposition 4.3 applied to $f^{(j)} - f^{(j-1)} \in \Sigma_{2^{j+1}}$ and using the fact that $\|\cdot\|_{\ell^{\tau^+,1}}$ satisfies the triangular inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\ell^{\tau^+,1}(L^{p,q})}^\rho &\leq \sum_{j=0}^J \|f^{(j)} - f^{(j-1)}\|_{\ell^{\tau^+,1}(L^{p,q})}^\rho \leq C \sum_{j=0}^J (2^{j+1})^{\alpha\rho} \|f^{(j)} - f^{(j-1)}\|_{p,q}^\rho \\ &\leq C \left[\sum_{j=1}^J (2^{j-1})^{\alpha\rho} \sigma_{2^{j-1}}(f)_{L^{p,q}}^\rho \right] + C\sigma_1(f)_{L^{p,q}}^\rho + C\|f\|_{p,q}^\rho \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\rho^\alpha(L^{p,q})}^\rho. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

4.3. Inclusions for the approximation spaces $\mathcal{A}_q^\alpha(L^{p,q})$. We can use the theory of real interpolation as in [4] to find inclusions for the approximation spaces $\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q})$, $0 < r < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$, using Corollaries 4.2 and 4.4.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\{\psi_Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be an admissible wavelet basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. For every $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$ we have*

$$\ell^{\tau^-,r}(L^{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \ell^{\tau^+,r}(L^{p,q})$$

where $\frac{1}{\tau^-} = \alpha + \frac{1}{\min(p,q)}$ and $\frac{1}{\tau^+} = \alpha + \frac{1}{\max(p,q)}$.

Proof. Choose α_0, α_1 such that $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha < \alpha_1$ and $\alpha = \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1}{2}$. For every $0 < r, s \leq \infty$ we have (see [4]),

$$\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q}) = (\mathcal{A}_s^{\alpha_0}(L^{p,q}), \mathcal{A}_s^{\alpha_1}(L^{p,q}))_{\frac{1}{2},r} \quad (4.14)$$

Using (4.14) with $s = \rho = \min(\tau_0^+, \tau_1^+, 1)$ and Corollary 4.4 and we deduce

$$\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q}) = (\mathcal{A}_\rho^{\alpha_0}(L^{p,q}), \mathcal{A}_\rho^{\alpha_1}(L^{p,q}))_{\frac{1}{2},r} \hookrightarrow (\ell^{\tau_0^+,1}(L^{p,q}), \ell^{\tau_1^+,1}(L^{p,q}))_{\frac{1}{2},r} = \ell^{\tau^+,r}(L^{p,q})$$

where $\frac{1}{\tau_0^+} = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{\max(p,q)}$ and $\frac{1}{\tau_1^+} = \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{\max(p,q)}$, and the last equality is due to the real interpolation properties of Lorentz spaces (see [2]). Notice that

$$\frac{1/2}{\tau_0^+} + \frac{1/2}{\tau_1^+} = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1) + \frac{1}{\max(p,q)} = \alpha + \frac{1}{\max(p,q)} = \frac{1}{\tau^+}.$$

To prove the other inclusion we use (4.14) with $s = \infty$ and Corollary 4.2 to obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q}) = (\mathcal{A}_\infty^{\alpha_0}(L^{p,q}), \mathcal{A}_\infty^{\alpha_1}(L^{p,q}))_{\frac{1}{2},r} \hookrightarrow (\ell^{\tau_0^-}(L^{p,q}), \ell^{\tau_1^-, \infty}(L^{p,q}))_{\frac{1}{2},r} = \ell^{\tau^-,r}(L^{p,q})$$

where $\frac{1}{\tau_0^-} = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{\min(p,q)}$ and $\frac{1}{\tau_1^-} = \alpha_1 + \frac{1}{\min(p,q)}$. \square

The inclusions in Theorem 4.5 are best possible in the sense described in the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\{\psi_Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}\}$ be an admissible wavelet basis for $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. For every $\alpha > 0$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$ we have the following:*

- (i) *If the inclusion $\ell^{\tilde{\tau},r}(L^{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q})$ holds for some $\tilde{\tau} > 0$, we must have $\tilde{\tau} \leq \tau^-$ where $\tau^- = (\alpha + \frac{1}{\min(p,q)})^{-1}$.*
- (ii) *If the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \ell^{\tilde{\tau},r}(L^{p,q})$ holds for some $\tilde{\tau} > 0$, we must have $\tau^+ \leq \tilde{\tau}$ where $\tau^+ = (\alpha + \frac{1}{\max(p,q)})^{-1}$.*

Proof. (i) Let Γ_1 be a collection of $2N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes of equal size $|Q|$ and set $f_1 = \sum_{Q \in \Gamma_1} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}}$. Since $\left\| \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} = 1$ we have $f_1 - G_k(f_1) = \sum_{Q \in \Gamma'_k} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}}$ for some $\Gamma'_k \subset \Gamma_1$ of cardinality $2N - k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2N - 1$. Then, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2N - 1$

$$\sigma_k(f_1) = \|f_1 - G_k(f_1)\|_{p,q} = \left\| \sum_{Q \in \Gamma'_k} \frac{\psi_Q}{\|\psi_Q\|_{p,q}} \right\|_{p,q} \approx (2N - k)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (4.15)$$

where the last equivalence is due to (3.6). From (4.15) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q})} &\gtrsim \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N [k^\alpha (2N-k)^{\frac{1}{p}}]^r \frac{1}{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq N^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N k^{\alpha r} \frac{1}{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \gtrsim \\ &\gtrsim N^{\frac{1}{p}} N^\alpha = N^{\alpha + \frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

On the other hand,

$$\|f_1\|_{\ell^{\tilde{\tau},r}(L^{p,q})} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2N} (k^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}})^r \frac{1}{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \approx (2N)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}} \approx N^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}} \quad (4.17)$$

From the inclusion $\ell^{\tilde{\tau},r} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q})$, (4.16) and (4.17) we deduce $N^{\alpha + \frac{1}{p}} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}}$ for all $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\alpha + \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}} \quad (4.18)$$

Choose now $\Gamma_2 = \{\tilde{Q}, \dots, \tilde{Q}_{2N}\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes all of them of different sizes, say $|Q| = 2^{-jd}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2N$. Set $f_2 = \sum_{\tilde{Q} \in \Gamma_2} \frac{\psi_{\tilde{Q}}}{\|\psi_{\tilde{Q}}\|_{p,q}}$. Using (3.8) in place of (3.6) and arguing as in (4.15) we obtain

$$\sigma_k(f_2)_{L^{p,q}} \approx (2N-k)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2N-1 \quad (4.19)$$

This results gives $\|f_2\|_{\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q})} \gtrsim N^{\alpha + \frac{1}{q}}$ with an argument similar to that of (4.16). On the other hand $\|f_2\|_{\ell^{\tilde{\tau},r}(L^{p,q})} \approx N^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}}$. The assumed inclusion then produces $N^{\alpha + \frac{1}{q}} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}}$ for all $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\alpha + \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}. \quad (4.20)$$

From (4.18) and (4.20) we deduce $\frac{1}{\tau^-} = \alpha + \frac{1}{\min(p,q)} \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}$ proving part (i) of Lemma 4.6.

(ii) Take Γ_1 as in the proof of part (i). Then, by (4.15)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\|_{\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q})} &\lesssim N^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2N-1} [k^\alpha (2N-k)^{\frac{1}{p}}]^r \frac{1}{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{p}} + N^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2N-1} k^{\alpha r} \frac{1}{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \\ &\lesssim N^{\frac{1}{p} + \alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

From the inclusion $\mathcal{A}_r^\alpha(L^{p,q}) \hookrightarrow \ell^{\tilde{\tau},r}(L^{p,q})$, (4.21) and (4.17) we deduce $N^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}} \lesssim N^{\frac{1}{p} + \alpha}$, for all $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}} \leq \alpha + \frac{1}{p}. \quad (4.22)$$

Using now the function f_2 of the proof of part i), and arguing similarly as above we must have $N^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}} \lesssim N^{\alpha + \frac{1}{q}}$ for all $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}} \leq \alpha + \frac{1}{q}. \quad (4.23)$$

The inequalities (4.22) and (4.23) give

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}} \leq \alpha + \frac{1}{\max(p,q)} = \alpha + \frac{1}{\tau^+}$$

proving the desired result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. BENETT AND R.C. SHARPLEY, *Interpolation of operators*. Pure and Appl. Math. 129, Academic Press, 1988.
- [2] J. BERGH AND J.LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation Spaces, an Introduction*. Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [3] A. COHEN, R.A. DEVORE, AND R.HOCHMUTH, *Restricted Nonlinear Approximation*. Constr. Approx., **16**, (2000), 85–113.
- [4] R. DEVORE AND V.A. POPOV, *Interpolation spaces and nonlinear approximation*. Lecture Notes in Math., (**1302**), (1988), 191–205.
- [5] S.J. DILWORTH, N.J. KALTON, D. KUTZAROVA, AND V.N. TEMLYAKOV, *The Thresholding Greedy Algorithm, Greedy Bases, and Duality*. Constructive Approximation, (**19**), (2003), 575–597.
- [6] G. GARRIGÓS AND E. HERNÁNDEZ, *Sharp Jackson and Bernstein inequalities of n -term approximation in sequence spaces with applications*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), 1739–1762.
- [7] G. GARRIGÓS, E. HERNÁNDEZ, AND J.M. MARTELL, *Wavelets, Orlicz spaces, and greedy bases*, Appl. Compt. Harmon. Anal., (**24**), (2008), 70–93.
- [8] E. HERNÁNDEZ AND G. WEISS, *A first course of wavelet*. CRC Press, Boca Raton FL, 1996.
- [9] C. HSIAO, B. JAWERTH, B.J. LUCIER AND X.M. YU, *Near optimal compression of almost optimal wavelet expansions*. Wavelets: mathematics and applications, Stud. Adv. Math., CRC, Boca Raton, FL, (1994), 425–446.
- [10] A. KAMONT AND V. N. TEMLYAKOV, *Greedy approximation and the multivariate Haar system*. Studia Math. **161** (2004), no. 3, 199–223.
- [11] S.V. KONYAGIN AND V.N. TEMLYAKOV, *A remark on greedy approximation in Banach spaces*. East J. Approx. **5** (1999), 365–379.
- [12] Y. MEYER, *Ondelettes et opérateurs, I: Ondelettes*, Hermann, Paris, 1990. [English translation: *Wavelets and operators*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.]
- [13] W. POMPE, *Unconditional biorthogonal bases in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$* , Colloq. Math. **92** (2002), 19–34.
- [14] P. SOARDI, *Wavelet bases in rearrangement invariant function spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 12, 3669–3673.
- [15] E. M. STEIN AND G. WEISS, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [16] V.N. TEMLYAKOV, *The best m -term approximation and Greedy Algorithms*. Advances in Comp. Math. **8** (1998), 249–265.
- [17] P. WOJTASZCZYK, *Wavelets as unconditional bases in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$* . J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **5** (1999), no. 1, 73–85.
- [18] P. WOJTASZCZYK, *Greediness of the Haar system in rearrangement invariant spaces*, in *Approximation and Probability*, Banach Center Publications **72**, T. Figiel and A. Kamont Eds., Warszawa 2006, 385–395.

EUGENIO HERNÁNDEZ, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: eugenio.hernandez@uam.es

JOSÉ MARÍA MARTELL, ICMAT CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM, CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS, C/ SERRANO 123, 28006 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: chema.martell@uam.es

MARIA DE NATIVIDADE, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN

E-mail address: maria.denatividade@uam.es